arXiv:1003.3620v2 [math-ph] 9 Aug 2011

A BANACH SPACE-VALUED ERGODIC THEOREM AND THE UNIFORM APPROXIMATION OF THE INTEGRATED DENSITY OF STATES

DANIEL LENZ, FABIAN SCHWARZENBERGER, AND IVAN VESELIĆ

Abstract. In this paper we consider bounded operators on infinite graphs, in particular Cayley graphs of amenable groups. The operators satisfy an equivariance condition which is formulated in terms of a colouring of the vertex set of the underlying graph. In this setting it is natural to expect that the integrated density of states (IDS), or spectral distribution function, exists. We show that it can be defined as the uniform limit of approximants associated to finite matrices. The proof is based on a Banach space valued ergodic theorem which even allows explicit convergence estimates. Our result applies to a variety of group structures and colouring types, in particular to periodic operators and percolation-type Hamiltonians.

1. Introduction

The topic of this paper is located at the interface between geometric group theory and functional analysis. The considered geometric setting is provided by a Cayley graph of an amenable group. We study almost-additive functions mapping finite subsets of the graph into a fixed Banach space. These functions are given in terms of a colouring of vertices of the graph. In the applications we have in mind, the colouring is oftentimes a realisation of a stochastic field on the graph. Under certain conditions on the tiling properties of the group, and on frequencies of patterns occurring in the colouring, we derive a Banach-space valued ergodic theorem.

This result is then applied to the study of the *integrated density of states* of equivariant Hamilton operators on graphs. The integrated density of states is also called *spectral distribution function*. We abbreviate it in the sequel by IDS. We show that the IDS can be approximated by normalised *eigenvalue counting functions* (associated to finite volume restrictions of the operator) uniformly in the energy variable.

Let us describe the contents of the paper more precisely. At the end of this section we discuss earlier results which are related to ours. In the next section we give precise definitions of the graphs we are working on and the conditions they should satisfy. In particular, we require the existence of a *Følner sequence* with the additional property that translates of each set occurring in the sequence form a decomposition of the whole graph. Moreover, we define the notions of a *colouring* of a graph, of a *pattern* and a *frequency* of a pattern along a Følner sequence. Last but not least, in Section 3 it is explained when a function taking finite subsets of the graph into a Banach space is called *almost additive* and *invariant* with respect to a colouring.

For such functions we formulate and prove a *Banach-space valued ergodic theorem* in Section 3. The subsequent section is devoted to *Hamilton operators on graphs* and their IDS. The link to the previous sections is given by the requirement that the Hamilton operator is in an appropriate sense equivariant with respect to the colouring of a graph. For each finite subset of the graph there is a natural restriction of the operator to this subset which is given by a finite dimensional matrix. The eigenvalue counting function of such a matrix is an element of the Banach space of bounded, right-continuous functions. The map taking finite subsets of the graph into the mentioned Banach space can be shown to be almost additive and invariant. Thus the ergodic theorem of Section 3 can be applied and yields the uniform approximability of the IDS by its finite volume analogues.

In the penultimate section we discuss specific examples where the assumptions of our theorems are satisfied. On the one hand we give for the euclidean lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and the Heisenberg group H_3 explicit Følner sequences which satisfy the geometric requirements needed to deal with almost additive functions. On the other hand we show that Laplace operators on periodic graphs and percolation subgraphs thereof define a Hamiltonian which is invariant with respect to a colouring. More precisely, up to a set of measure zero, each realisation of the percolation process gives a colouring with well-defined frequencies of patterns. The Laplacian on the percolation subgraph is invariant with respect to this colouring. The periodic model corresponds to the trivial case where the percolation cluster is the whole graph.

In the last section we discuss some open questions.

Let us compare the results of this paper to previous ones. The approximability or convergence of the IDS is a topic well studied in the mathematical physics literature. For ergodic random and almost-periodic operators in Euclidean space the existence of the IDS was first rigorously proven in the seminal papers [Pas71, Shu79]. See [KM07, Ves07] for surveys on this topic and a discussion of the extensive literature up to '07. In the mathematical physics literature one typically considers operators on euclidean spaces $\mathbb{R}^d$ or $\mathbb{Z}^d$ which are invariant and ergodic with respect to a (commutative) group of translations acting on the underlying space. In such a setting, it is well known that the IDS converges almost surely and at all energies at which the IDS is continuous. Let us note that this type of convergence is weaker than pointwise convergence at all energies, let alone uniform convergence.

In the geometry literature the IDS is called spectral distribution function. It has been studied for Lapacians and periodic Schrödinger operators on covering manifolds and periodic graphs. In various instances the approximability of the IDS by its finite volume analogues has been established in the topology of pointwise convergence. For periodic and random Schrödinger operators on manifolds this has been done in [Szn89, Szn90, AS93, PV02, LPV04] and for finite difference operators on periodic graphs in [MY02, MSY03, DLM$^+$03, Ves05]. Previously, the approximability of ℓ^2-Betti numbers has been established in [DM97, DM98, Eck99, LS99]. Since the zeroth ℓ^2-Betti number is the value of the IDS at energy zero, these results are intimately connected. They can be understood as dealing with existence of the IDS in a single point. The monograph [Lüc02] and the collection of talks [DLSV06] give a survey of results in this direction and an overview of the literature up to '06.

Having discussed pointwise convergence of the normalised eigenvalue counting functions to the IDS, we now turn to previous results which concern the convergence *with respect to the supremum norm*. Here, we discuss earlier papers in some detail, since they are closely related to our own result. There are essentially two approaches to uniform convergence results:

One approach is based on Banach-space valued ergodic theorems. The uniform convergence of the IDS is then nothing but a special instance of a geometry based averaging result valid for a rather general class of functions. This approach has been first developed for quasi-periodic tilings in Euclidean space in [LS06] (see [GH91] and [Bes08] for related ergodic theorems as well). A similar ergodic type result was then established for colourings of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ (see [Len02] for the one-dimensional case as well) and used to prove uniform convergence of the IDS for corresponding models in [LMV08]. These papers are strongly rooted in the rather simple geometry of Euclidean spaces and their sublattices. On the conceptual level, our main goal here is to achieve an extension of the approach in [LMV08] to the non abelian situation.

As far as uniform convergence of the IDS is concerned there exists also a different approach. This approach does not use (or give) a Banach space valued averaging procedure for general functions. It is rather tailored to deal with the IDS as it relies on special properties of converging sequences of measures on the real line. This is first discussed in the preprint [Ele06b] for a class of graphs called *abstract quasi-crystal graphs*, see also [Ele06a]. In [LV09] uniform convergence of the IDS was then established for a large class of equivariant ergodic Hamiltonians on graphs. While the geometric setting there includes our main examples discussed in the penultimate section it falls short of our present results in at least two ways: It does not give the explicit error bounds we achieve here and it can not be used to treat single operators but can only deal with ergodic families.

To illustrate the relevance of the two last mentioned features let us discuss in some more detail the implications of the approximability of the IDS. The main theorem in Section 4 establishes that the IDS is well defined. More precisely, it is shown that the sequence of distribution functions (the normalised eigenvalue counting functions) converges to some element of a Banach space. In certain situations there is an alternative way to define the IDS in operator-algebraic terms. The corresponding formula is sometimes called Pastur-Shubin trace formula, cf. Remark 5.6. Thus the question arises whether the two definitions of the IDS coincide. Indeed, the answer to this question depends on the amenability properties of the underlying space. There are examples where the two definitions lead to two different distribution functions, see e. g. Section 4 of [AS93].

In the context of mathematical physics the definition using the approximation by eigenvalue counting functions is more relevant. It corresponds more closely to the intuition of physicists. In fact, properties of the infinite volume system are oftentimes studied via its finite volume approximants, and vice versa. Let us point out several examples for this approach.

An key feature of the IDS is its asymptotics near the bottom of the spectrum of the underlying operator. If the latter is periodic one expects a van Hove behaviour, i.e. polynomial vanishing of the IDS. If the operator is 'sufficiently random', one expects a Lifshitz behaviour, namely that the IDS goes to zero at an exponential rate. To establish this result one estimates the lowest eigenvalue of a properly scaled finite volume system. However, this bound is only useful if one has control of the difference between the IDS and its finite volume approximant. For this purpose various approaches have been implemented in the euclidan setting. For instance one can sandwich the IDS between two different finite volume approximants, one giving a lower and the other an upper bound, and control their distance. The papers [KM83] and [Sim85] are instances where this method has been implemented. A different approach is to use the specific structure of the operator under consideration to obtain very fast convergence of the *averaged* finite volume IDS to its infinite volume analogue, cf. e.g. [Klo99]. More information on this topic can be found in the overview article [KM07] and the references therein.

From the physics point of view it is also important to understand the quantitative continuity and differentiability properties of the IDS. This is often achieved by first proving a related statement (called Wegner estimate) for finite volume systems and then carrying it over to the infinite volume. In special situations it is expedient to go in the reverse direction, see for instance in [CKM87]. For detailed information see e. g. the surveys [KM07, Ves07].

We finish this section by shortly discussing the relevance of our single colouring based approach compared to an approach dealing with ergodic families of colourings. Basically, there are two advantages. One advantage is the explicit description of the set of colourings where convergence holds. More precisely, convergence holds whenever frequencies exist. The other advantage is that we can deal with situations in which there is no natural ergodic system at ones disposal. A simple example of such a system is given by the set of visible points in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. This set consists of those points in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ which can be seen from the origin i.e. whose coordinates have greatest common divisor equal to one. By colouring the visible points with one colour and the other points of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with another colour one obtains a colouring. In this example frequencies exist along cubes centered at the origin. However, there does not seem to be a natural ergodic system. The corresponding ergodic theorem in this (abelian) situation was already discussed in [LMV08] to which we also refer for further references on the set of visible points.

2. Basics and notation

This paper concerns operators on Cayley graphs of finitely generated, amenable groups. The group will usually be denoted by G, a finite generating system by S and the unit element by e. We assume S to be symmetric, i.e. $s \in S \Rightarrow s^{-1} \in S$. A word with letters in S is a finite sequence $w = (s_1, \ldots, s_L)$ of elements from S. The length of such a word w is L, the number of letters, and the value $\overline{w}$ of w is the element of G one gets by evaluating the product $\overline{w} = s_1 \cdots s_L$. We define the distance between two given elements $g, h \in G$ as the minimal length of a word with value $g^{-1}h$, which gives us the so called *word metric* $d_S : G \times G \to \mathbb{N}_0$

$$d_S(g,h) := \min\{L \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid s_0 = e,\ \exists s_1, \ldots, s_L \in S \text{ such that } s_0 s_1 \cdots s_L = g^{-1}h\}.$$

We denote a ball of radius R around an element $x \in G$ by $B_R(x) := \{g \in G | d_S(g,x) \leq R\}$ and the ball around the unit element by $B_R := B_R(e)$. Given a finite subset $Q \subseteq G$ we define the *diameter* by $\operatorname{diam}(Q) := \max\{d_S(g,h) | g,h \in Q\}$ and use $|Q|$ to denote the *cardinality* of Q. Furthermore we introduce the following notation related to the boundary of a finite subset $Q \subseteq G$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial^R_{int}(Q) := \{x \in Q \,|\, d_S(x, G \setminus Q) \leq R\} \qquad \partial^R_{ext}(Q) := \{x \in G \setminus Q \,|\, d_S(x, Q) \leq R\} \\ &\partial^R(Q) := \partial^R_{int}(Q) \cup \partial^R_{ext}(Q) \qquad Q_R := Q \setminus \partial^R(Q) \qquad Q^R := Q \cup \partial^R(Q) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We use the notations (Q_n) and $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ for a sequence of finite subsets of G, where the index n takes values in $\mathbb{N}$ and we write $Q_{n,R}$ instead of $(Q_n)_R$. It is well known [Ada93], that *amenability* of G is equivalent to the existence of a sequence $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of finite subsets of G such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|Q_n S \setminus Q_n|}{|Q_n|} = 0$$

holds. Such a sequence $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is called *Følner sequence*. Given a set $Q \subseteq G$ a *partition* of Q is a set of pairwise disjoint subsets Q_i, $i \in I$ of Q such that $\bigcup_{i\in I} Q_i = Q$, where I is some index set. We say that $Q \subseteq G$ *tiles* the group G or Q is a *monotile* of G if there exists a set $K \subseteq G$ such that $\{Qg \mid g \in K\}$ is a partition of G. In this case $\{Qg \mid g \in K\}$ is called a *tiling* of the group along the *grid* K. If additionally $K = K^{-1}$ holds, we say that Q *symmetrically tiles* G or $\{Qg \mid g \in K\}$ is a *symmetric tiling* of G. An assumption on the group G will be the following: there exists a Følner sequence (Q_n) such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set Q_n symmetrically tiles G.

In order to define colourings and patterns we denote the set of all finite subsets of G by $\mathcal{F}(G)$ and introduce an arbitrary finite set $\mathcal{A}$, which could be seen as the set of possible colours. A *colouring* is a map $\mathcal{C} : G \to \mathcal{A}$ and a *pattern* is a map $P : D(P) \to \mathcal{A}$, where $D(P) \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is called the domain of P. The *set of all patterns* is denoted by $\mathcal{P}$ and for a fixed $Q \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ the subset of $\mathcal{P}$ which contains only the patterns with domain Q is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(Q)$. Given a set $Q \subseteq D(P)$ and an element $x \in G$ we furthermore define a *restriction of a pattern* by $P|_Q : Q \to \mathcal{A}$, $g \mapsto P|_Q(g) = P(g)$ and a *translation of a pattern* $Px : D(P)x \to \mathcal{A}, yx \mapsto P(y)$. Two patterns are called *equivalent* if one is a translation of the other. The equivalence class of a pattern P is denoted by $\tilde{P}$. We write $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ for the induced set of equivalence classes in $\mathcal{P}$. For two patterns P and P' the number of occurrences of the pattern P in P' is denoted by

$$\sharp_P(P') := \left|\{x \in G \,|\, D(P)x \subseteq D(P'), P'|_{D(P)x} = Px\}\right|.$$

Counting occurrences of patterns along a Følner sequence $(U_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ leads to the definition of frequencies. If for a pattern P and a Følner sequence $(U_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ the limit

$$\nu_P := \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|}.$$

exists, we call ν_P the *frequency of P in the colouring $\mathcal{C}$ along $(U_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$*.

Lemma 2.1. (a) *For an arbitrary $Q \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ one has the equalities*

$$\partial^R_{int}(Q) = \bigcup_{s\in B_R} (Q \setminus Qs) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \partial^R_{ext}(Q) = \bigcup_{s\in B_R} (Qs \setminus Q).$$

(b) *If $(U_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence we have for any $R \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{|\partial^R_{int} U_j|}{|U_j|} = 0, \qquad \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{|\partial^R_{ext} U_j|}{|U_j|} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{|\partial^R U_j|}{|U_j|} = 0.$$

(c) *The sequence $(U_{j,R})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence if $(U_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is one.*

(d) *If ν_P is the frequency of a pattern P along the Følner sequence $(U_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ then ν_P is the frequency of P along $(U_{j,R})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ as well.*

The proof of this Lemma is stated in the appendix.

Remark 2.2. Certain considerations outlined in Lemma 2.1 can be simplified if the identity element e is contained in the generating set S. In this situation we have that the sequence $(U_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence if and only if

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{|U_j S|}{|U_j|} = 1. \tag{2}$$

For the proof of this note that $U_j \subseteq U_j S$ since S contains the unit element e. Therefore we have

$$\frac{|U_j S \setminus U_j|}{|U_j|} = \frac{|U_j S| - |U_j|}{|U_j|} = \frac{|U_j S|}{|U_j|} - 1.$$

which directly implies the claimed equivalence.

In order to state the announced ergodic theorem for Banach valued functions, we need the following definitions:

Definition 2.3. A function $b : \mathcal{F}(G) \to [0, \infty)$ is called a boundary term if

(a) $b(Q) = b(Qx)$ for all $x \in G$ and all $Q \in \mathcal{F}(G)$,
(b) $\lim\limits_{j\to\infty} \frac{b(U_j)}{|U_j|} = 0$ for any Følner sequence (U_j) and
(c) there exists $D > 0$ with $b(Q) \leq D|Q|$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{F}(G)$.

For a pattern P we define $b(P) := b(D(P))$. Due to property (a) the value $b(P)$ depends only on the equivalence class of a pattern. Thus $b(\tilde{P}) := b(P)$ is well-defined.

Definition 2.4. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $\tilde{F}$ a function $\tilde{F} : \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \to X$. We call $\tilde{F}$ almost-additive if there exists a boundary term b such that for any $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ and any disjoint decomposition $P = \bigcup_{k=1}^m P_k$ of a representative P of $\tilde{P}$ we have:

$$\left\| \tilde{F}(\tilde{P}) - \sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{F}(\tilde{P}_k) \right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^m b(\tilde{P}_k).$$

Let $\tilde{F} : \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \to X$ be an almost additive function and P an arbitrary pattern. If we decompose P into $|D(P)|$ one-element patterns P_k with disjoint domains, i.e. $P = \bigcup P_k$ we obtain

$$\|\tilde{F}(\tilde{P})\| \leq \|\tilde{F}(\tilde{P}) - \sum_k \tilde{F}(\tilde{P}_k)\| + \|\sum_k \tilde{F}(\tilde{P}_k)\| \leq \sum_k b(\tilde{P}_k) + \sum_k \|\tilde{F}(\tilde{P}_k)\|. \tag{3}$$

Since all $D(P_k)$ contain exactly one element, $b(\tilde{P}_k)$ is independent of k and $F(\tilde{P}_k)$ can take at most $|\mathcal{A}|$ different values. Therefore it follows

$$\|\tilde{F}(\tilde{P})\| \leq C|D(P)| \qquad \text{with } C = b(e) + \max_{a\in\mathcal{A}} \|\tilde{F}(\tilde{e_a})\|. \tag{4}$$

Here e is the unit element considered as a vertex of the Cayley graph, and $e_a : \{e\} \to \mathcal{A}$ the map $e_a(e) = a$. A given colouring $\mathcal{C}$ on G and an almost-additive function $\tilde{F} : \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \to X$ give rise to a function

$$F : \mathcal{F}(G) \to X, \qquad F(Q) := \tilde{F}(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}|_Q}) \qquad \text{for } Q \in \mathcal{F}(G).$$

The following properties of F obviously hold

(i) *$\mathcal{C}$-invariant*: if $x \in G$ is such that the patterns $\mathcal{C}|_Q$ and $\mathcal{C}|_{Qx}$ are equivalent, then we have
$$F(Q) = F(Qx),$$
(ii) *almost additive*: if $Q_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ are disjoint subsets of G, then we have
$$\left\| F\Big(\bigcup_{k=1}^m Q_k\Big) - \sum_{k=1}^m F(Q_k) \right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^m b(Q_k),$$
(iii) *bounded*: there exists a $C > 0$ such that
$$\|F(Q)\| \leq C|Q| \qquad \text{for all } Q \in \mathcal{F}(G).$$

A $\mathcal{C}$-invariant and almost additive function $F : \mathcal{F}(G) \to X$ is automatically bounded. This follows from an estimate analogous to (3). Instead of defining F based on $\tilde{F}$ one could also proceed the other way around: if a function $F : \mathcal{F}(G) \to X$ with the properties (i) and (ii) is given, define $\tilde{F} : \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \to X$ by the following procedure. If for $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ there exists an $Q \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}|_Q} = \tilde{P}$ set $\tilde{F}(\tilde{P}) = F(Q)$. This definition is independent of the particular choice of Q by the $\mathcal{C}$-invariance of F. If such a Q does not exist, set $F(\tilde{P}) = 0$. Therefore showing (i) and (ii) for F is the same as showing almost additivity for $\tilde{F}$. To simplify the notation we will write $\tilde{F}(P)$ instead of $\tilde{F}(\tilde{P})$

for a given pattern $P \in \mathcal{P}$. In order to be able to refer to it later, we summarise the assumptions made in this section:

Assumption 2.5. G is an amenable group generated by a finite and symmetric set S, $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite set and $\mathcal{C} : G \to \mathcal{A}$ is a map, which we will call a colouring. There exists a Følner sequence $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in G such that each element of this sequence symmetrically tiles the group. $(U_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence along which the frequencies $\nu_P = \lim_{j\to\infty} |U_j|^{-1}\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})$ exist for all patterns $P \in \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(Q_n)$. $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach-space.

Remark 2.6. Let us discuss Assumption 2.5.

- We assume that G contains a Følner sequence (Q_n) such that each Q_n symmetrically tiles G. This condition is particularly satisfied if there exists a sequence of subgroups $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that one can choose the associated fundamental domains $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to be a Følner sequence. Based on a result of Weiss [Wei01], Krieger proves in [Kri07] that this is fullfilled for any residually finite, amenable group. This gives that in particular any group of polynomial volume growth fits in our framework.
- In the special case where the group equals $\mathbb{Z}^d$ it is convenient to think of the sets U_j as balls of radius j and of Q_n as cubes of side length n. While both of them are Følner sequences, (Q_n) has the additional property that each Q_n symmetrically tiles $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Here we want the frequencies of the patterns to exist along the sequence of balls.

3. An ergodic theorem

Given the setting outlined in the previous section we are in the position to formulate the announced ergodic type theorem for certain Banach-space valued functions.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume 2.5. For a given $\mathcal{C}$-invariant and almost-additive function $F : \mathcal{F}(G) \to X$ the following limits*

$$\overline{F} := \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{F(U_j)}{|U_j|} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \nu_P \frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|}$$

exist and are equal. Furthermore, for $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$ the difference

$$\Delta(j,n) := \Big\| \frac{F(U_j)}{|U_j|} - \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \nu_P \frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|} \Big\|$$

satisfies the estimate

$$\Delta(j,n) \le \frac{b(Q_n)}{|Q_n|} + (C+D)\frac{|\partial^{\mathrm{diam}(Q_n)} U_j|}{|U_j|} + C \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \Big| \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|} - \nu_P \Big|. \tag{5}$$

Proof. We firstly prove (5). By adding a zero we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(j,n) &= \Big\| \frac{F(U_j)}{|U_j|} - \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|}\frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|} + \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|}\frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|} - \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \nu_P \frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|} \Big\| \\ &\le \Big\| \frac{F(U_j)}{|U_j|} - \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|}\frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|} \Big\| + \Big\| \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \Big(\frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|} - \nu_P \Big) \frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|} \Big\|.\end{aligned}$$

With another application of the triangle inequality this gives

$$\Delta(j,n) \le D_1(j,n) + D_2(j,n),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(j,n) &:= \Big\| \frac{F(U_j)}{|U_j|} - \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|}\frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|} \Big\| \\ D_2(j,n) &:= \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \Big| \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|} - \nu_P \Big| \frac{\|\tilde{F}(P)\|}{|Q_n|}. \end{aligned}$$

We use the boundedness of $\tilde{F}$, see (4)

$$\|\tilde{F}(P)\| \leq C|Q_n|, \tag{6}$$

to obtain

$$D_2(j,n) \leq C \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \Big|\frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|} - \nu_P\Big|. \tag{7}$$

For each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set Q_n (symmetrically) tiles the group G, i.e. there exists a symmetric set $G_n \subseteq G$ such that $G = \bigcup_{g\in G_n} Q_n g$, where $Q_n g \cap Q_n h = \emptyset$ for all $g, h \in G_n$ with $g \neq h$. This property remains valid after shifting the grid by an arbitrary $x^{-1} \in G$. In fact we have

$$G = Gx^{-1} = \bigcup_{g\in G_n} Q_n g x^{-1} = \bigcup_{g\in G_n x^{-1}} Q_n g,$$

where $G_n x^{-1} := \{gx^{-1} | g \in G_n\}$. Still $Q_n g \cap Q_n h = \emptyset$ holds for all distinct $g, h \in G_n x^{-1}$, since $g = \tilde{g}x^{-1}$ and $h = \tilde{h}x^{-1}$ for some distinct $\tilde{g}, \tilde{h} \in G_n$ and

$$Q_n g \cap Q_n h = \emptyset \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad Q_n \tilde{g} x^{-1} \cap Q_n \tilde{h} x^{-1} = \emptyset \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad Q_n \tilde{g} \cap Q_n \tilde{h} = \emptyset.$$

Given a set $A \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ and an element $x \in G$, we introduce the set of elements $g \in G_n x^{-1}$ which gives rise to a translate $Q_n g$, which is not disjoint from A:

$$S(A,x,n) := \{g \in G_n x^{-1} \,|\, Q_n g \cap A \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We distinguish two types of elements in $S(A,x,n)$

$$I(A,x,n) := \{g \in G_n x^{-1} \,|\, Q_n g \subseteq A\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \partial(A,x,n) := S(A,x,n) \setminus I(A,x,n).$$

Since we have $Q_n g \subseteq \partial^{\operatorname{diam} Q_n} A$ for all $g \in \partial(A,x,n)$ and $Q_n g \subseteq A$ for all $g \in I(A,x,n)$ the disjointness of the translates implies that the following inequalities hold:

$$|\partial(A,x,n)| \cdot |Q_n| \leq |\partial^{\operatorname{diam} Q_n} A| \qquad \text{and} \qquad |I(A,x,n)| \cdot |Q_n| \leq |A|. \tag{8}$$

Given an $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ and $x \in G$ we have $Q_n g = Q_n g \cap A$ for $g \in I(A,x,n)$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} T(A,x,n) \quad &:= \quad \Big\|F(A) - \sum_{g\in I(A,x,n)} F(Q_n g)\Big\| = \Big\|F(A) - \sum_{g\in I(A,x,n)} F(Q_n g \cap A)\Big\| \\ &\leq \quad \Big\|F(A) - \sum_{g\in S(A,x,n)} F(Q_n g \cap A)\Big\| + \Big\|\sum_{g\in\partial(A,x,n)} F(Q_n g \cap A)\Big\| \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds since $S(A,x,n)$ is the disjoint union of $\partial(A,x,n)$ and $I(A,x,n)$. Now we use the almost additivity and the boundedness of F and later on the properties of the boundary term b to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(A,x,n) \quad &\leq \quad \Big(\sum_{g\in I(A,x,n)} b(Q_n g) + \sum_{g\in\partial(A,x,n)} b(Q_n g \cap A)\Big) + \sum_{g\in\partial(A,x,n)} C|Q_n g| \\ &\leq \quad \sum_{g\in I(A,x,n)} b(Q_n) + \sum_{g\in\partial(A,x,n)} D|Q_n| + \sum_{g\in\partial(A,x,n)} C|Q_n| \\ &\leq \quad |I(A,x,n)| b(Q_n) + |\partial(A,x,n)|(C+D)|Q_n|. \end{aligned}$$

The inequalities (8) yield the estimate

$$T(A,x,n) \leq \frac{|A|}{|Q_n|} b(Q_n) + (C+D)|\partial^{\operatorname{diam} Q_n} A|. \tag{9}$$

Furthermore we have the equality

$$\{z \in G \,|\, Q_n z \subseteq A\} = \dot{\bigcup_{x\in Q_n}} \{z \in G_n x^{-1} \,|\, Q_n z \subseteq A\} \tag{10}$$

since for each $z \in G$ there is $x \in Q_n$ and $g \in G_n$ with $z^{-1} = xg$ and hence $z = g^{-1}x^{-1} \in G_n x^{-1}$, as G_n is a symmetric subset of G. To see that the union in (10) is disjoint observe that for given

$x, y \in Q_n$ with $x \neq y$ and $z \in G_n x^{-1}$ we have $z^{-1} \in xG_n$. By the tiling property of Q_n this gives $z^{-1} \notin yG_n$ and hence $z \notin G_n y^{-1}$.

The $\mathcal{C}$-invariance of F and the equation (10) imply

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j}) \tilde{F}(P) = \sum_{z \in G : Q_n z \subseteq U_j} F(Q_n z) = \sum_{x \in Q_n} \sum_{g \in I(U_j, x, n)} F(Q_n g), \tag{11}$$

from which we deduce

$$|U_j| D_1(j,n) = \Big\| F(U_j) - \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j}) \frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|} \Big\| \overset{(11)}{=} \Big\| F(U_j) - \frac{1}{|Q_n|} \sum_{x \in Q_n} \sum_{g \in I(U_j,x,n)} F(Q_n g) \Big\|.$$

Using $\frac{1}{|Q_n|} \sum_{x \in Q_n} 1 = 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| F(U_j) - \frac{1}{|Q_n|} \sum_{x \in Q_n} \sum_{g \in I(U_j,x,n)} F(Q_n g) \Big\| &= \frac{1}{|Q_n|} \Big\| \sum_{x \in Q_n} \Big(F(U_j) - \sum_{g \in I(U_j,x,n)} F(Q_n g) \Big) \Big\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|Q_n|} \sum_{x \in Q_n} \underbrace{\Big\| F(U_j) - \sum_{g \in I(U_j,x,n)} F(Q_n g) \Big\|}_{T(U_j,x,n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the estimate (9) for $T(U_j, x, n)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(j,n) &\leq \frac{1}{|Q_n|} \sum_{x \in Q_n} \left(\frac{b(Q_n)}{|Q_n|} + (C+D) \frac{|\partial^{\operatorname{diam} Q_n} U_j|}{|U_j|} \right) \\ &= \frac{b(Q_n)}{|Q_n|} + (C+D) \frac{|\partial^{\operatorname{diam} Q_n} U_j|}{|U_j|}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with the upper bound for $D_2(j,n)$ in (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(j,n) &\leq D_1(j,n) + D_2(j,n) \\ &\leq \frac{b(Q_n)}{|Q_n|} + (C+D) \frac{|\partial^{\operatorname{diam} Q_n} U_j|}{|U_j|} + C \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \Big| \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|} - \nu_P \Big|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$. This proves (5). Now the main part of the theorem follows readily. One immediately sees that $\Delta(j,n)$ tends to zero if j and n tend (in the right order) to infinity, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{j \to \infty} \Delta(j,n) = 0. \tag{12}$$

The triangle inequality shows that

$$\Big\| \frac{F(U_j)}{|U_j|} - \frac{F(U_m)}{|U_m|} \Big\| = \Big\| \frac{F(U_j)}{|U_j|} - \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \nu_P \frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|} + \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \nu_P \frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|} - \frac{F(U_m)}{|U_m|} \Big\| \leq \Delta(j,n) + \Delta(m,n)$$

holds for all $j, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $(|U_j|^{-1} F(U_j))$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence convergent in the Banach space X. We use again (12) to obtain that $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \nu_P \frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|}$ converges to the same limit. ■

With the help of the above theorem we are able to give an explicit bound for the distance between the approximants and the limit term.

Corollary 3.2. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 be fulfilled. Then we have for all $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$ the estimates*

$$\Big\| \overline{F} - \frac{F(U_j)}{|U_j|} \Big\| \leq 2 \frac{b(Q_n)}{|Q_n|} + (C+D) \frac{|\partial^{\operatorname{diam}(Q_n)} U_j|}{|U_j|} + C \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \Big| \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|} - \nu_P \Big|$$

and

$$\Big\|\overline{F}-\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)}\nu_P\frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|}\Big\|\leq\frac{b(Q_n)}{|Q_n|}.$$

Proof. By definition of $\overline{F}$

$$\Big\|\overline{F}-\frac{F(U_j)}{|U_j|}\Big\|=\lim_{k\to\infty}\Big\|\frac{F(U_k)}{|U_k|}-\frac{F(U_j)}{|U_j|}\Big\|$$

holds. The triangle inequality implies that for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ the above expression is less or equal to

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\Big\|\frac{F(U_k)}{|U_k|}-\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)}\nu_P\frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|}\Big\|+\Big\|\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)}\nu_P\frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|}-\frac{F(U_j)}{|U_j|}\Big\|\right)=\lim_{k\to\infty}\big(\Delta(k,n)+\Delta(j,n)\big).$$

Using the estimate (5) for $\Delta(j,n)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\overline{F}-\frac{F(U_j)}{|U_j|}\Big\| &\leq \lim_{k\to\infty}\Bigg(\frac{b(Q_n)}{|Q_n|}+(C+D)\frac{|\partial^{\operatorname{diam}Q_n}U_k|}{|U_k|}+C\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)}\Big|\frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_k})}{|U_k|}-\nu_P\Big|\\ &\qquad+\frac{b(Q_n)}{|Q_n|}+(C+D)\frac{|\partial^{\operatorname{diam}Q_n}U_j|}{|U_j|}+C\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)}\Big|\frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|}-\nu_P\Big|\Bigg)\\ &= 2\frac{b(Q_n)}{|Q_n|}+(C+D)\frac{|\partial^{\operatorname{diam}Q_n}U_j|}{|U_j|}+C\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)}\Big|\frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|}-\nu_P\Big|.\end{aligned}$$

We use the same ideas to compute the distance to the second estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\overline{F}-\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)}\nu_P\frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|}\Big\| &= \lim_{k\to\infty}\Big\|\frac{F(U_k)}{|U_k|}-\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)}\nu_P\frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{|Q_n|}\Big\|=\lim_{k\to\infty}\Delta(k,n)\\ \leq\lim_{k\to\infty}&\left(\frac{b(Q_n)}{|Q_n|}+(C+D)\frac{|\partial^{\operatorname{diam}(Q_n)}U_k|}{|U_k|}+C\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)}\Big|\frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_k})}{|U_k|}-\nu_P\Big|\right)=\frac{b(Q_n)}{|Q_n|}.\end{aligned}$$

■

The assumptions of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 are particularly satisfied if there exists a Følner sequence $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ along which the frequencies ν_P for all patterns $P\in\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{P}(Q_n)$ exist and where each Q_n symmetrically tiles G. This corresponds to the special case of Assumption 2.5, where it is possible to choose $(U_j)=(Q_j)$.

Remark 3.3. As the proof of Theorem 3.1 is based on the existence of a Cauchy sequence and its convergence, the completness of the image space X is an essential assumption. However, the existence of a norm on X can be replaced by the existence of a countable family of semi-norms. This gives rise to an induced metric on X. Therefore the above statements still hold true in the case were X is a Fréchet space. For similar reasoning see [LS06].

4. Approximation of the IDS

We will use Theorem 3.1 to give an approximation estimate with respect to the supremum norm of the integrated density of states of certain operators. For this purpose we want to describe which class of operators can be treated by our method. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a finite dimensional Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and induced norm $\|\cdot\|$. We define

$$\ell^2(G,\mathcal{H}):=\left\{u:G\to\mathcal{H}\;\Big|\;\sum_{x\in G}\|u(x)\|^2<\infty\right\},$$

which is a Hilbert space as well. For an arbitrary element $x\in G$ let

$$p_x:\ell^2(G,\mathcal{H})\to\mathcal{H},\;u\mapsto p_x(u):=u(x)\tag{13}$$

be the natural projection and

$$i_x : \mathcal{H} \to \ell^2(G,\mathcal{H}),\ h \mapsto i_x(h) \text{ with } i_x(h)(y) = h\delta_{xy} \tag{14}$$

the natural inclusion. Note that i_x is the adjoint of p_x. These maps can be generalised for subsets $Q \subseteq G$. The support of $u \in \ell^2(G,\mathcal{H})$ is the set of those $x \in G$, such that $u(x) \neq 0$. We identify $\ell^2(Q,\mathcal{H}) = \{u : Q \to \mathcal{H} \,|\, \sum_{x\in Q} \|u(x)\|^2 < \infty\}$ with the subspace of $\ell^2(G,\mathcal{H})$ consisting of all elements supported in Q. The map $p_Q : \ell^2(G,\mathcal{H}) \to \ell^2(Q,\mathcal{H})$ is given by $u \mapsto p_Q(u)$, where $p_Q(u)(x) = u(x)$ for $x \in Q$. Similarly the inclusion $i_Q : \ell^2(Q,\mathcal{H}) \to \ell^2(G,\mathcal{H})$ is given by

$$i_Q(u)(x) := \begin{cases} u(x) & \text{if } x \in Q \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

In particular we will consider the restricted operator $p_Q H i_Q : \ell^2(Q,\mathcal{H}) \to \ell^2(Q,\mathcal{H})$ for which we will use the notation

$$H[Q] := p_Q H i_Q.$$

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite set, $\mathcal{C} : G \to \mathcal{A}$ a colouring and $H : \ell^2(G,\mathcal{H}) \to \ell^2(G,\mathcal{H})$ a selfadjoint operator. Then we say that

(a) H is of finite range $:\Leftrightarrow \exists M > 0$ such that $p_y H i_x = 0$ for all $x, y \in G$ with $d_S(x,y) \geq M$,

(b) H is $\mathcal{C}$-invariant $:\Leftrightarrow \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p_y H i_x = p_{yt} H i_{xt}$ for all $x, y, t \in G$ obeying

$$(\mathcal{C}|_{B_N(x)\cup B_N(y)})t = \mathcal{C}|_{B_N(xt)\cup B_N(yt)},$$

(c) $R(H) := \max\{M, N\}$ is the overall range of H, if H is of finite range with parameter M and $\mathcal{C}$-invariant with parameter N.

Note that if H satisfies the condition (b) for some N, then it does so for any $\tilde{N} > N$ as well.

Remark 4.2 (Boundedness of H). We fix a basis of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is of finite dimension, for each pair $x, y \in G$ the mapping $p_y H i_x : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is given by a matrix of dimension $\dim(\mathcal{H}) \times \dim(\mathcal{H})$. The $\mathcal{C}$-invariance of H implies that the matrix corresponding to $p_y H i_x$ is a function of $\mathcal{C}$ restricted to $B_N(x) \cup B_N(y)$. If H is of finite range, the matrix is in fact a function of $\mathcal{C}|_{B_{2R}(x)}$ only. The reason is that for x and y with distance greater than M, $p_y H i_x$ vanishes identically, while for $d_S(x,y) \leq M$ the set $B_N(x) \cup B_N(y)$ is contained in $B_{2R}(x)$. Since $|\mathcal{A}| < \infty$ and $|B_{2R}(x)| < \infty$ there are only finitely many functions $P : B_{2R}(x) \to \mathcal{A}$ and hence only finitely many values which the matrix valued function $p_y H i_x$ can take. From this we conclude that

$$c := \sup_{x,y\in G} \sup\{\|(p_y H i_x)h\| \mid h \in \mathcal{H}, \|h\| \leq 1\} \tag{15}$$

is finite. For a given $\varphi \in \ell^2(G,\mathcal{H})$ the finite range of H implies $H\varphi(x) = \sum_{y\in B_R(x)} (p_y H i_x)\varphi(y)$. Hence

$$\|H\varphi\|^2 = \langle H\varphi, H\varphi\rangle = \sum_{x\in G} \langle H\varphi(x), H\varphi(x)\rangle = \sum_{x\in G} \Big\langle \sum_{y\in B_R(x)} (p_x H i_y)\varphi(y), \sum_{z\in B_R(x)} (p_x H i_z)\varphi(z)\Big\rangle$$

holds. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$\|H\varphi\|^2 \leq \sum_{x\in G} \sum_{y,z\in B_R(x)} \|(p_x H i_y)\varphi(y)\| \|(p_x H i_z)\varphi(z)\| \leq \sum_{x\in G} \sum_{y,z\in B_R(x)} c^2 \|\varphi(y)\| \|\varphi(z)\|$$

with c as in (15). Young's inequality $2\|\varphi(x)\|\|\varphi(y)\| \leq \|\varphi(x)\|^2 + \|\varphi(y)\|^2$ yields that the last expression is less or equal to

$$\frac{c^2}{2} \sum_{x\in G} \left(\sum_{y,z\in B_R(x)} \|\varphi(y)\|^2 + \sum_{y,z\in B_R(x)} \|\varphi(z)\|^2 \right) = c^2 |B_R| \sum_{x\in G} \sum_{y\in B_R(x)} \|\varphi(y)\|^2$$

This shows the boundedness of H:

$$\|H\varphi\| \leq c|B_R| \|\varphi\|.$$

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ be the Banach space of right-continuous, bounded functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ equipped with supremum norm. For a selfadjoint operator A on a finite dimensional Hilbert space V we define its cumulative eigenvalue counting function $n(A) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ by setting

$$n(A)(E) := |\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \lambda_i \leq E\}|$$

for all $E \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, \dim V$ are the eigenvalues of A, counted according to their multiplicity.

We will study functions $n(H[Q])$ for $\mathcal{C}$-invariant operators H of finite range and $Q \in \mathcal{F}(G)$. Dividing this function by the number of eigenvalues $\dim(\mathcal{H})|Q|$ of $H[Q]$ gives rise to a distribution function of a probability measure. It encodes the distribution of the spectrum of $H[Q]$. One can hope that if Q tends to the whole group G in some appropriate sense, the normalised eigenvalue counting functions will converge to a limiting distribution.
For this approximation to hold it is certainly a good idea to choose Q from a Følner sequence $(U_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of subsets in G. Indeed, it turns out that the above strategy can be implemented and in fact gives convergence of the distribution functions with respect to supremum norm. This is stated in Theorem 4.5 below. We formulate now a convenient assumption.

Assumption 4.4. Assume 2.5 and additionally that $\mathcal{H}$ is a finite dimensional Hilbert space and that the operator $H : \ell^2(G,\mathcal{H}) \to \ell^2(G,\mathcal{H})$ is selfadjoint, $\mathcal{C}$-invariant and of finite range. Let $R = R(H)$ denote the overall range of H.

Theorem 4.5. *Assume 4.4. Then there exists a unique probability measure μ_H on $\mathbb{R}$ with distribution function N_H, such that the estimate*

$$\left\|\frac{n(H[U_{j,R}])}{\dim(\mathcal{H})|U_{j,R}|} - N_H\right\|_\infty \leq 8\frac{|\partial^R Q_n|}{|Q_n|} + (1+4|B_R|)\frac{|\partial^{\mathrm{diam}(Q_n)} U_j|}{|U_j|} + \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)}\left|\frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|} - \nu_P\right| + \frac{|\partial^R_{int} U_j|}{|U_j|}$$

holds for all $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies in particular the convergence

$$\frac{n(H[U_{j,R}])}{|U_{j,R}|\dim(\mathcal{H})} \to N_H$$

with respect to the supremum norm for $j \to \infty$. N_H is called the integrated density of states.

For the proof we establish a couple of auxiliary results.

Proposition 4.6. *Assume 4.4. The function $F^H_R : \mathcal{F}(G) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}),\ Q \mapsto F^H_R(Q) := n(H[Q_R])$ is $\mathcal{C}$-invariant and almost-additive with the boundary term $b(Q) := 4|\partial^R Q|\dim(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Since R is the overall range of H the values of $n(H[Q_R])$ only depend on the colouring of Q, namely $\mathcal{C}|_Q$, and hence F^H_R is $\mathcal{C}$-invariant. To show almost-additivity we use a decoupling argument. Let Q be a disjoint union of Q_k for $k = 1, \dots, m$. By definition R is big enough so that

$$H\Big[\bigcup_{k=1}^m Q_{k,R}\Big] = \bigoplus_{k=1}^m H[Q_{k,R}]$$

holds. Therefore we can count the eigenvalues of $H[Q_{k,R}]$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$ separately

$$n\Big(H\Big[\bigcup_{k=1}^m Q_{k,R}\Big]\Big) = \sum_{k=1}^m n(H[Q_{k,R}]).$$

Now we can apply Proposition 7.2 with $V = \ell^2(Q_R, \mathcal{H})$ and $U = \ell^2(\bigcup_{k=1}^m Q_{k,R}, \mathcal{H})$. Hence we get

$$\left\|n(H[Q_R]) - n\Big(H\Big[\bigcup_{k=1}^m Q_{k,R}\Big]\Big)\right\|_\infty \leq 4\sum_{k=1}^m |\partial^R Q_k|\dim(\mathcal{H})$$

This proves the almost-additivity with the boundary term $b(Q) := 4|\partial^R Q|\dim(\mathcal{H})$. Note that $b(Q) \leq D|Q|$ with $D = 4|B_R|\dim(\mathcal{H})$, in the notation of Definition 2.3. ■

From a calculation analogous to (3) it is clear that F^H_R is bounded. Since the operator $H[Q_R]$ has exactely $\dim(\mathcal{H})|Q_R|$ eigenvalues, the boundedness holds with the constant $C = \dim(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. Since F_R^H is $\mathcal{C}$-invariant and almost-additive, we can apply Corollary 3.2 which gives the existence of a function $\tilde{N}_H$ with

$$\begin{aligned}(16)\qquad \left\| \frac{F_R^H(U_j)}{|U_j|} - \tilde{N}_H \right\|_\infty &\leq 2\frac{b(Q_n)}{|Q_n|} + (C+D)\frac{|\partial^{\operatorname{diam}(Q_n)} U_j|}{|U_j|} + C \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \left| \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|} - \nu_P \right| \\ &\leq \dim(\mathcal{H}) \left(8\frac{|\partial^R Q_n|}{|Q_n|} + (1+4|B_R|)\frac{|\partial^{\operatorname{diam}(Q_n)} U_j|}{|U_j|} + \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \left| \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|} - \nu_P \right| \right)\end{aligned}$$

for all $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$. What remains to be done is to change the normalisation of $F_R^H(U) = n(H[U_R])$. We know that $|U_{j,R}| = |U_j| - |\partial_{int}^R(U_j)|$ and by expansion one can show that

$$\frac{1}{|U_j|} = \frac{1}{|U_j| - |\partial_{int}^R(U_j)|} - \frac{|\partial_{int}^R(U_j)|}{|U_j|(|U_j| - |\partial_{int}^R(U_j)|)}$$

holds. This gives us for all $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \frac{F_R^H(U_j)}{|U_j|} - \tilde{N}_H \right\|_\infty &= \left\| \frac{F_R^H(U_j)}{|U_{j,R}|} - \frac{F_R^H(U_j)|\partial_{int}^R(U_j)|}{|U_{j,R}||U_j|} - \tilde{N}_H \right\|_\infty \\ &\geq \left\| \frac{F_R^H(U_j)}{|U_{j,R}|} - \tilde{N}_H \right\|_\infty - \left\| \frac{F_R^H(U_j)|\partial_{int}^R(U_j)|}{|U_{j,R}||U_j|} \right\|_\infty .\end{aligned}$$

By definition of F_R^H we have $\|F_R^H(U_j)\|_\infty = \dim(\mathcal{H})|U_{j,R}|$ which implies

$$\left\| \frac{F_R^H(U_j)|\partial_{int}^R(U_j)|}{|U_{j,R}||U_j|} \right\|_\infty = \dim(\mathcal{H})\frac{|\partial_{int}^R(U_j)|}{|U_j|}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, the estimate

$$\left\| \frac{F_R^H(U_j)}{\dim(\mathcal{H})|U_{j,R}|} - N_H \right\|_\infty \leq 8\frac{|\partial^R Q_n|}{|Q_n|} + (1+4|B_R|)\frac{|\partial^{\operatorname{diam}(Q_n)} U_j|}{|U_j|} + \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \left| \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|} - \nu_P \right| + \frac{|\partial_{int}^R U_j|}{|U_j|}$$

holds for all $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N_H := \tilde{N}_H / \dim(\mathcal{H})$. By using Lemma 2.1 this shows the claimed convergence. ■

Since the eigenvalue counting function n is $\mathcal{C}$-invariant, the function $\tilde{n}$ on the set the equivalence classes of all patterns given by

$$(17)\qquad \tilde{n}(\tilde{P}) := \begin{cases} n(H[Q_R]) & \text{if } Q \in \mathcal{F}(G) \text{ s.t. } \tilde{P} = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}|_Q} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

is well defined. As before we write $\tilde{n}(P)$ instead of $\tilde{n}(\tilde{P})$ for a given $P \in \mathcal{P}$. The following result is a direct consequence of the second estimate in Corollary 3.2 and the boundary term from Proposition 4.6.

Corollary 4.7. *Assume 4.4 and let N_H be defined as in Theorem 4.5. Then the bound*

$$\left\| N_H - \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \nu_P \frac{\tilde{n}(P)}{|Q_n| \dim(\mathcal{H})} \right\|_\infty \leq 4\frac{|\partial^R(Q_n)|}{|Q_n|}$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We give a simple example to show that in general the IDS depends on the choice of the Følner sequence (U_j).

Example 4.8. Consider the usual graph of $\mathbb{Z}$, the set of colours $\mathcal{A} = \{\text{black, white}\}$ and the colouring

$$\mathcal{C} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{A}, \qquad \mathcal{C}(x) = \begin{cases} \text{white} & \text{if } x \geq 0 \text{ or } x = 3k \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{black} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Deleting all edges which are incident with a white vertex gives rise to a new graph and hence a new adjacency operator A. This operator is selfadjoint, of finite range and $\mathcal{C}$-invariant. We choose two Følner sequences (U_j) and (V_j) as follows

$$U_j = \{1, \dots, 3j\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad V_j = \{-3j, \dots, -1\}. \tag{18}$$

Since for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ all entries of the matrix $A[U_j]$ are equal to zero, the IDS N_U with respect to the sequence (U_j) is

$$N_U(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda < 0 \\ 1 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Computing the IDS along the sequence (V_j) gives a completely different picture: The eigenvalues of the matrix $A[V_j]$ are $-1, 0$ and 1, each of them with multiplicity j. Therefore the IDS N_V with respect to the sequence (V_j) is the function

$$N_V(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda < -1 \\ 1/3 & \text{if } -1 \leq \lambda < 0 \\ 2/3 & \text{if } 0 \leq \lambda < 1 \\ 1 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Assumption 4.9. Assume 4.4 and additionally that the frequencies ν_P are strictly positive for all patterns $P \in \mathcal{P}$ which occur in $\mathcal{C}$, i.e. for which there exists $g \in G$ with $\mathcal{C}|_{D(P)g} = Pg$

Theorem 4.10. *If we assume 4.9, then the spectrum of H equals the topological support of μ_H.*

Proof. Since the operator H is assumed to be of overall range R we have

$$\|(H - \lambda)u\| = \|(H[Q] - \lambda)p_Q u\|, \tag{19}$$

for all u with $\operatorname{supp}(u) \subseteq Q_R$. Let λ be an element of the spectrum $\sigma(H)$, then $H - \lambda$ is not invertible. Thus, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find a subset $Q \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ and a normalised vector u with support in Q_R such that $\|(H - \lambda)u\| < \varepsilon$ holds. From this we know that $(H - \lambda)u$ is supported in Q and $\|(H[Q] - \lambda)p_Q u\| < \varepsilon$ by (19). For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote the number of disjoint occurrences of translates of $\mathcal{C}|_Q$ in the set $U_{j,R}$ by $k(j)$. This ensures the existence of $k(j)$ pairwise orthogonal normalised vectors u_i, $i = 1, \dots, k(j)$, where also $(H - \lambda)u_i$ are pairwise orthogonal and of norm strictly less than ε. Applying Proposition 7.4 we get that there must be at least $k(j)$ eigenvalues in the interval $(\lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda + \varepsilon)$ i.e.

$$n(H[U_{j,R}])(\lambda + \varepsilon) - n(H[U_{j,R}])(\lambda - \varepsilon) \geq k(j).$$

For a pattern $P \in \mathcal{P}$ Lemma 2.1 yields that the frequency ν_P along (U_j) is the same as the frequency along $(U_{j,R})$. As these frequencies are assumed to be strictly positive for all patterns which occur in $\mathcal{C}$, the number of disjoint occurrences of $\mathcal{C}|_Q$ in $U_{j,R}$ grows linearly in the volume of $U_{j,R}$ for large j. Thus we can find a $c > 0$ such that $k(j) \geq c|U_{j,R}|$ holds for large j. Using the uniform convergence of $n(H[U_{j,R}])$ we see

$$\mu_H([\lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda + \varepsilon]) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{n(H[U_{j,R}])(\lambda + \varepsilon) - n(H[U_{j,R}])(\lambda - \varepsilon)}{|U_{j,R}| \dim(\mathcal{H})} \geq \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{k(j)}{|U_{j,R}| \dim(\mathcal{H})} \geq \frac{c}{\dim(\mathcal{H})}.$$

As c is strictly positive and $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we conclude that λ is in the support of μ_H.

Now we start with λ in the support of μ_H. Thus for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we have a $c > 0$ such that $\mu_H([\lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda + \varepsilon]) \geq c$. By uniform convergence this gives that

$$n(H[U_{j,R}])(\lambda + \varepsilon) - n(H[U_{j,R}])(\lambda - \varepsilon) \geq \frac{c}{2} \dim(\mathcal{H}) |U_{j,R}|$$

holds for large j. Now we use Proposition 7.2 to observe

$$\|n(H[U_{j,2R}]) - n(H[U_{j,R}])\|_\infty \leq 4 \dim(\mathcal{H}) |\partial^R_{int} U_{j,R}|$$

which leads together with triangle inequality to

$$n(H[U_{j,2R}])(\lambda + \varepsilon) - n(H[U_{j,2R}])(\lambda - \varepsilon) \geq \frac{c}{2} \dim(\mathcal{H}) |U_{j,R}| - 8 |\partial^R_{int} U_{j,R}| \dim(\mathcal{H})$$

for large j. As the right hand side is positive for large j, there exists an eigenvalue $\overline{\lambda} \in [\lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda + \varepsilon]$ and a normalised eigenvector $\overline{u} \in \ell^2(U_{j,R}, \mathcal{H})$ such that $(H[U_{j,2R}] - \overline{\lambda})\overline{u} = 0$ holds. From this we have

$$\|(H[U_{j,R}] - \lambda)p_{U_{j,R}}u\| = \|(H[U_{j,R}] - \overline{\lambda})p_{U_{j,R}}u + (\overline{\lambda} - \lambda)p_{U_{j,R}}u\| \leq |\overline{\lambda} - \lambda| \leq \varepsilon$$

with a normalised vector $u = i_{U_{j,2R}}\overline{u} \in \ell^2(G, \mathcal{H})$ which is supported in $U_{j,2R}$. By (19) we get $\|(H - \lambda)u\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $\sigma(H) \cap [\lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda + \varepsilon] \neq \emptyset$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we infer that λ belongs to $\sigma(H)$. ■

The following example shows that the positivity of the frequencies is a necessary assumption.

Example 4.11. Consider the same situation as in Example 4.8 but now choose the colouring

$$\mathcal{C} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{A}, \qquad \mathcal{C}(x) = \begin{cases} \text{white} & \text{if } x \geq 0 \text{ or } x \leq -100 \text{ or } x = 3k \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{black} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Again we treat the case where edges only exist between black vertices with distance one. The restricted adjacency operator $A[V_j]$, with V_j as in (18), has for $1 \leq j \leq 33$ the eigenvalues $-1, 0$ and 1 each of them with multiplicity j. From this we get in particular that -1 and 1 are elements of the spectrum of A.
However the frequencies of the patterns that give rise to these eigenvalues is zero. For all $j \geq 34$ the multiplicities of the eigenvalues -1 and 1 remain 33 and the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 equals $33 + 3j$. Therefore for increasing j the steps of the cumulative eigenvalue counting become relatively small. This implies that the IDS is the function

$$N(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda < 0 \\ 1 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Thus the topological support of the induced measure μ_A equals $\{0\}$, though -1 and 1 are in the spectrum of A.

The next corollary characterises the set of points at which the IDS is discontinuous. It has been obtained previously in [LV09] by different methods. For earlier results characterising the set of jumps see e.g. [KLS03], [Ves05]. Related results pointing in this direction have been observed already in [Kuc91, Kuc05].

Corollary 4.12. *Assume 4.9 and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *λ is a point of discontinuity of N_H,*
(ii) *there exists a compactly supported eigenfunction of H corresponding to λ.*

Proof. Let u be an eigenfunction corresponding to λ with $r > 0$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(u) \subseteq B_r$ holds. Let Q be a finite subset of G. Set $P := \mathcal{C}|_{B_r}$, then each copy of P in $\mathcal{C}|_Q$ adds a dimension to the eigenspace of $p_Q H i_Q$ belonging to λ. We denote the number of disjoint copies of P in Q by $\dot{\sharp}_P(\mathcal{C}|_Q)$. A simple combinatorial argument shows $|B_{3r}|\dot{\sharp}_P(\mathcal{C}|_Q) \geq \sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_Q)$. With this we get

$$\frac{n(H[Q])(\lambda - \varepsilon)}{|Q|} \leq \frac{n(H[Q])(\lambda + \varepsilon) - \dot{\sharp}_P(\mathcal{C}|_Q)}{|Q|} \leq \frac{n(H[Q])(\lambda + \varepsilon)}{|Q|} - \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_Q)}{|B_{3r}||Q|}.$$

Now we substitute Q by the elements of the Følner sequence $(U_{j,R})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$

$$\frac{n(H[U_{j,R}])(\lambda + \varepsilon)}{|U_{j,R}|} - \frac{n(H[U_{j,R}])(\lambda - \varepsilon)}{|U_{j,R}|} \geq \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_{j,R}})}{|B_{3r}||U_{j,R}|}.$$

If $j \to \infty$ we get

$$N_H(\lambda + \varepsilon) - N_H(\lambda - \varepsilon) \geq \frac{\nu_P}{|B_{3r}| \dim(\mathcal{H})} > 0, \tag{20}$$

where we used Lemma 2.1. As $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we infer that λ is a point of discontinuity of N_H.

Let λ be a point of discontinuity of N_H and $(U_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ Følner sequence given in (4.4). Theorem 4.5 shows that the distribution function $n(H[U_{j,R}])/(|U_{j,R}| \dim(\mathcal{H}))$ converges to N_H with respect to the supremum norm. Since λ is a point of discontinuity the jump at λ will not get small, i.e.

$$\dim(\ker(H[U_{j,R}] - \lambda)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0}(n(H[U_{j,R}])(\lambda + \varepsilon) - n(H[U_{j,R}])(\lambda - \varepsilon)) \geq c|U_{j,R}|$$

for a $c > 0$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We also know

$$\dim(\ell^2(\partial^{2R}_{int} U_{j,R})) = |\partial^{2R}_{int} U_{j,R}| = \frac{|\partial^{2R}_{int} U_{j,R}|}{|U_{j,R}|} |U_{j,R}|$$

and since $U_{j,R}$ is a Følner sequence $\frac{|\partial^{2R}_{int} U_{j,R}|}{|U_{j,R}|}$ tends to zero for large j. Thus we get that

$$\dim(\ker(H[U_{j,R}] - \lambda)) > \dim(\ell^2(\partial^{2R}_{int} U_{j,R}))$$

holds for large j. By using Proposition 7.3 we find an eigenvector u of H with $\operatorname{supp} u \subseteq U_{j,3R}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. ■

Remark 4.13. Part of Corollary 4.12 can be sharpened to obtain a quantitative estimate. First we will discuss the size of a jump of the IDS at a point of discontinuity as it is estimated in line (20). If v is an eigenfunction with support in B_r then any pattern which is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}|_{B_r}$ will support an eigenfunction which is a translate of v. The frequency describes how often the respective pattern occurs in the colouring. In this sense it describes also the density of translates of the eigenfunction v. Thus it is natural that the size of the jump is proportional to ν_P. Since the IDS measures the number of eigenstates per unit volume, the size of the ball B_r and the dimension of the auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ enter in the denominator of the size of the jump. In fact there the term $|B_{3r}|$ rather then $|B_r|$ occurs since in the proof of Corollary 4.12 we are interested in the number of *disjoint* occurrences of a pattern in a certain volume, whereas the frequency ν_P is defined in terms of overall occurrences of the sought pattern.

An analogous reasoning shows that if we have m linearly independent eigenfunctions in $\ell^2(B_r)$ to the energy λ then the size of the jump will obey

$$N_H(\lambda + \varepsilon) - N_H(\lambda - \varepsilon) \geq \frac{m\, \nu_P}{|B_{3r}| \dim(\mathcal{H})},$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

5. Applications

5.1. **Abelian groups.** In this section the main results are applied to the case where the group G equals $\mathbb{Z}^d$, as an example for a finitely generated abelian group. Let S be the usual set of generators given by $S = \{\pm s_1, \ldots, \pm s_d\}$ with $s_i(j) = \delta_{ij}$. It is easy to check that the sequence (Q_n) of cubes $Q_n = \{0, \ldots, n-1\}^d$ is a Følner sequence. Moreover for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set Q_n symmetrically tiles $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with grid $(n\mathbb{Z})^d$.

Assumption 5.1. Let (Q_n) and S be as above. $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite set of colours, $\mathcal{C} : G \to \mathcal{A}$ a map called colouring and (U_j) a Følner sequence along which the frequencies of all patterns $P \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(Q_n)$ exist.

One obtains the following corollary as a special case of Theorem 3.1 by using the equalities

$$|Q_n| = n^d \qquad \text{and} \qquad \operatorname{diam}(Q_n) = dn. \tag{21}$$

The following Corollary recovers the main result of [LMV08].

Corollary 5.2. *Assume 5.1. For a given $\mathcal{C}$-invariant and almost-additive function $F : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \to X$ the following limits*

$$\overline{F} := \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{F(U_j)}{|U_j|} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \nu_P \frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{n^d}$$

exist and are equal. Furthermore, for $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$ the difference

$$\Delta(j,n) := \Big\| \frac{F(U_j)}{|U_j|} - \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \nu_P \frac{\tilde{F}(P)}{n^d} \Big\|$$

satisfies the estimate

$$\Delta(j,n) \leq \frac{b(Q_n)}{n^d} + (C + D) \frac{|\partial^{nd} U_j|}{|U_j|} + C \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \Big| \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|} - \nu_P \Big|. \tag{22}$$

Assumption 5.3. Assume 5.1 and additionally that $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space of dimension $k < \infty$ and $H : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathcal{H}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathcal{H})$ a selfadjoint, $\mathcal{C}$-invariant operator of finite range with overall range R.

By Theorem 4.5 the Assumption 5.3 implies that there exists a unique distribution function N_H such that the estimate

$$\left\| \frac{n(H[U_{j,R}])}{k|U_{j,R}|} - N_H \right\|_\infty \leq 8\frac{|\partial^R Q_n|}{|Q_n|} + (1+4|B_R|)\frac{|\partial^{\mathrm{diam}(Q_n)} U_j|}{|U_j|} + \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \left| \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|} - \nu_P \right| + \frac{|\partial^R_{int} U_j|}{|U_j|}$$

holds for all $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the equalities (21) and the inequalities

$$|B_R| \leq (2R)^d \qquad \text{and} \qquad |\partial^R(Q_n)| \leq (n+4R)^d - n^d \tag{23}$$

leads to a slightly weaker corollary.

Corollary 5.4. *Assume 5.3. Then there exists a unique distribution function N_H, such that $n(H[U_{j,R}])/(k|U_{j,R}|)$ converges to N_H with respect to the supremum norm as $j \to \infty$. In fact, the estimate*

$$\left\| \frac{n(H[U_{j,R}])}{k|U_{j,R}|} - N_H \right\|_\infty \leq 8\left(\left(1 + \frac{4R}{n}\right)^d - 1 \right) + (1+4(2R)^d)\frac{|\partial^{dn} U_j|}{|U_j|} + \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \left| \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|} - \nu_P \right| + \frac{|\partial^R_{int} U_j|}{|U_j|}$$

holds for all $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the situation where the frequencies ν_P of all patterns $P \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(Q_n)$ exist along the sequence of cubes (Q_n) we set $U_j := Q_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Again by using (21) and (23), the estimate in Corollary 5.4 can be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{n(H[Q_{j,R}])}{k|Q_{j,R}|} - N_H \right\|_\infty \leq\ & 8\left(\left(1 + \frac{4R}{n}\right)^d - 1 \right) + (1 + 4(2R)^d)\left(\left(1 + \frac{4dn}{j}\right)^d - 1 \right) \\ & + \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \left| \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{Q_j})}{|Q_j|} - \nu_P \right| + \left(\left(1 + \frac{4R}{j}\right)^d - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

If furthermore $\mathcal{A}$ consists of only one element, all information given by a pattern $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is its domain $D(P)$. Therefore in this situation the frequencies ν_P exist for all patterns $P \in \mathcal{P}$ along any Følner sequence (U_j). In fact

$$1 \geq \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{Q_j})}{|Q_j|} \geq \frac{|Q_{j,\mathrm{diam}\, D(P)}|}{|Q_j|} \to 1 \qquad \text{for } j \to \infty \tag{24}$$

holds and hence $\nu_P = 1$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Note that $\mathcal{P}(Q_n)$ contains just one element. In this situation we get

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \left| \frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{Q_j})}{|Q_j|} - \nu_P \right| \leq 1 - \frac{|Q_{j,\mathrm{diam}\, Q_n}|}{|Q_j|} \leq \frac{|\partial^{\mathrm{diam}\, Q_n} Q_j|}{|Q_j|} \leq \left(\left(1 + \frac{4dn}{j}\right)^d - 1 \right) \tag{25}$$

and hence that the estimate

$$\left\| \frac{n(H[U_{j,R}])}{k|U_{j,R}|} - N_H \right\|_\infty \leq c\left(\left(1 + \frac{c}{n}\right)^d + \left(1 + \frac{cn}{j}\right)^d - 2 \right)$$

holds for all $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $c = 6(2R)^d$.

5.2. **Heisenberg group.** The discrete Heisenberg group H_3 is a prominent example for a non-abelian, finitely generated group. A finite system of generators S gives rise to the Cayley graph and the adjacency operator. We are interested in the spectral distribution of this operator. Applying Theorem 4.5 leads to a uniform approximation of the IDS. The elements of the discrete Heisenberg group are given by the set

$$H_3 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 0 \\ c & b & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

We denote an element of H_3 by

$$(a, b, c) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 0 \\ c & b & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The group multiplication is induced by the usual matrix multiplication, therefore the product and the inverse for two elements $(a, b, c), (a', b', c') \in H_3$ are given by

$$(a, b, c)(a', b', c') = (a + a', b + b', c + c' + ba') \text{ and } (a, b, c)^{-1} = (-a, -b, ab - c).$$

It is easy to check, that the set $S := \{s_1^{\pm 1}, s_2^{\pm 1}\}$ with $s_1 = (1, 0, 0), s_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ is symmetric and generates H_3. Let the sequence of subgroups (G_n) be given by $G_n := \{(a, b, c) | a, b \in n\mathbb{Z}, c \in n^2\mathbb{Z}\}$. One can show that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $Q_n = \{(a, b, c) | a, b \in \mathbb{Z}(0, n-1), c \in \mathbb{Z}(0, n^2 - 1)\}$ is a fundamental domain for G_n in H_3, where we use for $u \leq v \in \mathbb{Z}$ the notation $\mathbb{Z}(u, v) := \{u, u + 1, \ldots, v\}$. Now we prove that $(Q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence. Since the equality

$$|Q_n S \setminus Q_n| = \sum_{s \in S} |Q_n s \setminus Q_n|$$

holds, we study the size of the four disjoint parts of the boundary $|Q_n s \setminus Q_n|$, $s \in S$ separately. For the first part we get

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_n s_1 \setminus Q_n| &= \left| \left\{ (a+1, b, c+b) \middle| a = n-1, b \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{n-1}, c \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{n^2-1} \right\} \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \left\{ (a+1, b, c+b) \middle| a \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{n-2},\ b \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{n-1},\ c \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{n^2-1}, b + c \geq n^2 \right\} \right| \\ &= n^3 + (n-1) \sum_{b=0}^{n-1} \sum_{c=0}^{n^2-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{b+c \geq n^2\}} \\ &= \frac{3}{2} n^3 - n^2 + \frac{1}{2} n, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{1}{2} n(n-1)$. Similarly one can show

$$|Q_n s_1^{-1} \setminus Q_n| = \frac{3}{2} n^3 - n^2 + \frac{1}{2} n \qquad \text{and} \qquad |Q_n s_2 \setminus Q_n| = |Q_n s_2^{-1} \setminus Q_n| = n^3$$

for the other generators. Therefore we have

$$|Q_n S \setminus Q_n| = 5n^3 - 2n^2 + n$$

for the boundary of a set Q_n. As the volume of the fundamental domain Q_n is equal to n^4 we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|Q_n S \setminus Q_n|}{|Q_n|} = 0.$$

Thus, the sequence (Q_n) is a Følner sequence and H_3 is amenable. We consider the trivial colouring, i.e. $|\mathcal{A}| = 1$ on H_3. In this case $\nu_P = 1$ for all patterns $P \in \mathcal{P}$, cf. (24). The adjacency operator $A : \ell^2(H_3) \to \ell^2(H_3)$ is defined pointwise for $x, y \in H_3$ and $f \in \ell^2(H_3)$ by

$$Af(x) = \sum_{y \in H_3} A(x, y) f(y), \qquad \text{where} \qquad A(x, y) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d_S(x, y) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

This operator is obviously selfadjoint, of finite range and $\mathcal{C}$-invariant with overall range $R(A) = 1$. Hence, the Assumption 4.4 is fulfilled and we can apply Theorem 4.5, which gives us the uniform convergence of the cumulative eigenvalue counting function and the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\|\frac{n(A[Q_{j,1}])}{|Q_{j,1}|}-N_A\Big\|_\infty &\leq 8\frac{|\partial^1(Q_n)|}{|Q_n|}+(1+4|B_1|)\frac{|\partial^{\mathrm{diam}(Q_n)}Q_j|}{|Q_j|}\\
&\quad+\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)}\Big|\frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{Q_j})}{|Q_j|}-\nu_P\Big|+\frac{|\partial^1_{int}(Q_j)|}{|Q_j|}\\
&\leq 8\frac{|\partial^1(Q_n)|}{|Q_n|}+22\frac{|\partial^{\mathrm{diam}(Q_n)}Q_j|}{|Q_j|}+\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)}\Big|\frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{Q_j})}{|Q_j|}-\nu_P\Big|
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used that the ball of radius one contains exactly five elements. Since there exists only one pattern with domain Q_n the last sum is not larger than $|Q_j|^{-1}|\partial^{\mathrm{diam}(Q_n)}Q_j|$, cf. (25). Thus,

$$\Big\|\frac{n(A[Q_{j,1}])}{|Q_{j,1}|}-N_A\Big\|_\infty \leq 8\frac{|\partial^1(Q_n)|}{|Q_n|}+23\frac{|\partial^{\mathrm{diam}(Q_n)}Q_j|}{|Q_j|}$$

holds for all $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 5.5 (Diameters of Q_n). Up to now we have discussed two features of the sequence (Q_n): Each of its elements symmetrically tiles G and the sequence has the Følner property. Now, the latter is a statement about the quotient of the area of the boundary of Q_n and the volume of Q_n. For certain questions it is desireable to relate this quantities to the diameter of Q_n. From the above calculations and reference [Bla03] we conclude that

$$n \leq \mathrm{diam}(Q_n) \leq 6n, \quad |Q_n| = n^4, \quad |Q_nS \setminus Q_n| = 5n^3 - 2n^2 + n \leq 6n^3.$$

Using the isoperimetric inequality [Føl55, Żuk00] (valid for any finite subset Q of an arbitrary Cayley graph of the group G with generating set S) and Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$|Q| \leq \sum_{\gamma\in\partial^1_{ext}Q} d_S(e,\gamma) \leq |S|\;|QS\setminus Q|\,(1+\mathrm{diam}(Q))$$

Thus it follows that for all Q_n we have a tight upper and lower bound for the above mentioned quotient (as far as the power of n is concerned):

$$\frac{1}{28\,n} \leq \frac{1}{|S|(1+\mathrm{diam}(Q_n))} \leq \frac{|Q_nS\setminus Q_n|}{|Q_n|} \leq \frac{6}{n}$$

In some sense our choice of the sequence (Q_n) is optimal, at least if one looks only at the order of powers of n. If we minimize the quotient over all subsets Q of G with diameter n we obtain

$$\inf_{\mathrm{diam}\,Q=n}\frac{|QS\setminus Q|}{|Q|} \geq \frac{1}{4+4n}.$$

Note also that the tiles Q_n have the same relation between diameter and volume as balls of radius n:

$$|Q_n| \sim (\mathrm{diam}\,Q_n)^4.$$

This implies that for lower bounds on Laplace eigenvalues in terms of Cheeger inequalities the tiles Q_n are equaly good as balls.

5.3. **Periodic operators.** An important class of operators to which our theory can be applied are those which are invariant with respect to a periodic colouring. Here are the details.

Let G be a finitely generated group, Γ a locally finite graph with a countable set of vertices and T a representation of G by graph isomorphisms $T_g : \Gamma \to \Gamma, g \in G$. We furthermore assume that the action T of G on Γ is free and cocompact, where free means that for any distinct $g, h \in G$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have $T_g\gamma \neq T_h\gamma$. By the cocompactness assumption we have that the quotient space Γ/T is compact and in this case even finite.

A fundamental domain $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \Gamma$ contains by definition exactly one element of each equivalence class of Γ with respect to T. Therefore $\mathcal{D}$ is also finite and this implies that the vertex degree of Γ is bounded. Consider for example the Heisenberg group H_3 acting on the Cayley graph of H_3 with respect to a fixed generating system, where the action of T_g is given by

$$T_g(\gamma) = \gamma g^n$$

for a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all $\gamma \in H_3$ and all $g \in H_3$.
We will use the relation between the group G and the graph Γ to convert an operator defined on $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ to an operator on $\ell^2(G, \mathcal{H})$ for an appropriately chosen finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $A : \ell^2(\Gamma) \to \ell^2(\Gamma)$ be linear, selfadjoint and invariant under the action of T, i.e.

$$A(x, y) = A(T_g x, T_g y) \qquad \forall x, y \in \Gamma, g \in G. \tag{26}$$

We furthermore assume that A is of finite range, which means that whenever the graph distance between x and y is larger than a constant ρ, we have $A(x, y) = 0$.
As the fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}$ is finite, $\mathcal{H} := \ell^2(\mathcal{D})$ is of finite dimension. Next we define a unitary operator $U : \ell^2(G, \mathcal{H}) \to \ell^2(\Gamma)$. For any elements $\psi \in \ell^2(G, \mathcal{H})$ and $g \in G$ we can decompose $\psi(g)$ according to

$$\psi(g) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}} \psi_i(g)\delta_i,$$

where $\delta_i,\ i \in \mathcal{D}$ are the basis elements of $\ell^2(\mathcal{D})$. It is clear that the coefficients $\psi_i(g)$ are uniquely determined. For a given $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we choose the elements $i \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g \in G$ such that $\gamma = T_g i$ holds, to define $U\psi(\gamma) := \psi_i(g)$. It is not hard to check that the operator $U^* : \ell^2(\Gamma) \to \ell^2(G, \mathcal{H})$ given by $U^*\varphi(g) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}} \varphi(T_g i)\delta_i$ for $\varphi \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$ and $g \in G$ is the inverse and the adjoint of U.
Finally we define the operator $H := U^*AU : \ell^2(G, \mathcal{H}) \to \ell^2(G, \mathcal{H})$ and need to show the $\mathcal{C}$-invariance and finite range property to apply our theorems. We start with the second one: let $a, b \in G$ be arbitrary. For the natural projection p_a and inclusion i_b, defined as in (13) and (14) we get that Ui_b maps an element of $\mathcal{H}$ to $T_b\mathcal{D} := \{\gamma \in \Gamma | \exists i \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\gamma = T_b i\}$. Accordingly the value of $p_a U^*\varphi$ depends only on the elements $\varphi(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in T_a\mathcal{D}$. Thus, if the distance between $T_a\mathcal{D}$ and $T_b\mathcal{D}$ is larger than ρ, the operator $p_a U^* A U i_b$ is equal to zero. As $\mathcal{D}$ is finite we can find a $R_{fr} > 0$ such that $d_S(a, b) \geq R_{fr}$ implies $p_a H i_b = 0$. Since the operator A has the property (26) we get that $p_a H i_b = p_{a+g} H i_{b+g}$ holds for all $a, b, g \in G$, which implies the $\mathcal{C}$-invariance.

5.4. **Percolation Hamiltonians.** In this section we discuss randomly coloured graphs and show the existence of frequencies ν_P for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ along any Følner sequence. In fact we even give a precise formula to compute these frequencies.

We consider a randomly coloured infinite Cayley graph $\Gamma = \Gamma(G, S)$, where each vertex is coloured in one of the $|\mathcal{A}|$ colours independently from the others. Each of the colours is chosen with the same probability, namely $|\mathcal{A}|^{-1}$. More precisely, we consider the following probability space: The sample space $\Omega = \{\omega = (\omega(x))_{x \in G} \mid \omega(x) \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is the set of all possible colourings of the graph. We take $\mathcal{B}$ to be the σ-algebra of subsets of Ω generated by the finite-dimensional cylinders. Finally, we take the product measure $\mu = \prod_{g \in G} \mu_g$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$, where μ_g is a probability measure on $\mathcal{A}$ given by

$$\mu_g(\omega(g) = a) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \qquad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

For $x \in G$ and $\omega \in \Omega$ a translation of a colouring ω is given by $\omega x : G \to \mathcal{A},\ y \to \omega(yx^{-1})$. This defines a measure preserving action of G on the measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. Furthermore the independence of the randomly chosen colours implies ergodicity of the action of G on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. This means that if $A \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies $Ax = A$ for all $x \in G$, then $\mu(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.

Let $P : D(P) \to \mathcal{A}$ be a pattern with domain $D(P) \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ containing the unit element. The set $A_P = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \omega|_{D(P)} = P\}$ consists of all colourings, which coincide with P on $D(P)$. Let $f_P : \Omega \to \{0, 1\}$ be the indicator function of A_P. Then we have

$$\sum_{\gamma \in Q_{n,\mathrm{diam}(D(P))}} f_P(\omega\gamma^{-1}) \leq \sharp_P(\omega|_{Q_n}) \leq \sum_{\gamma \in Q_n} f_P(\omega\gamma^{-1}). \tag{27}$$

For a given Følner sequence (Q_n) this immediately proves

$$\nu_P = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sharp_P(\omega|_{Q_n})}{|Q_n|} \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|Q_n|} \sum_{\gamma\in Q_n} f_P(\omega\gamma^{-1}).$$

One the other hand we have

$$\frac{1}{|Q_n|} \sum_{\gamma\in\partial^R_{int}Q_n} f_P(\omega\gamma^{-1}) \leq \frac{|\partial^R_{int}Q_n|}{|Q_n|} \to 0,\ n\to\infty$$

for all $R > 0$, which implies

$$\nu_P = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sharp_P(\omega|_{Q_n})}{|Q_n|} \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|Q_n|} \sum_{\gamma\in Q_{n,\mathrm{diam}(D(P))}} f_P(\omega\gamma^{-1}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|Q_n|} \sum_{\gamma\in Q_n} f_P(\omega\gamma^{-1})$$

using the first inequality in (27). If (Q_n) is even a tempered Følner sequence, we can apply Lindenstrauss' pointwise ergodic theorem from [Lin01]. Note that every Følner sequence has a tempered subsequence. Lindenstrauss' theorem proves that the above limit exists almost surely, in fact

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|Q_n|} \sum_{\gamma\in Q_n} f_P(\omega\gamma^{-1}) = \mathbb{E}(f_P) \qquad \text{a.s.}$$

Therefore for almost all configurations $\omega \in \Omega$ the frequency ν_P of an arbitrary pattern $P \in \mathcal{P}$ equals the expectation value $\mathbb{E}(f_P)$. Thus we get

$$\nu_P = \mathbb{E}(f_P) = \mu(\omega \in A_P) = |\mathcal{A}|^{-|D(P)|} \qquad \text{a.s.}$$

Remark 5.6. In the main theorem in section 4 we verified the uniform convergence of a sequence of distribution functions to a limit function. The integrated density of states was then defined to be equal to this limit. There is an alternative way to define the IDS in operator-algebraic terms. In fact it is in many cases possible to state a specific formula defining this distribution function. This relation is sometimes called Pastur-Shubin trace formula and applies to the mentioned models of (deterministic) periodic operators and (random) percolation Hamiltonians. In the deterministic case this formula reads

$$N(E) = |Q|^{-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}[\chi_Q P((-\infty,E))].$$

Here the set Q is a fundamental domain of the group G with respect to some finite index subgroup of G (e.g. G itself) and $P(I)$ is the spectral projection of the operator H on an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Note that the choice of the fundamental domain Q does not influence the IDS. For a percolation Hamiltonian H_ω an expectation value enters the formula

$$N(E) = |Q|^{-1}\mathbb{E}\left\{\mathrm{Tr}[\chi_Q P_\omega((-\infty,E))]\right\}.$$

See [LV09] for a discussion of a general class of operators for which uniform convergence of the IDS and a Pastur-Shubin formula can be proven.

5.5. **Continuous dependence of the IDS on the Hamiltonian.** In the following we describe a continuity property of the IDS with respect to the change of coefficients of the Hamiltonian. For this purpose we fix a graph G, a finite alphabet $\mathcal{A}$, a colouring $\mathcal{C}\colon G\to\mathcal{A}$, and sequences (U_j) and (Q_n) as in Assumption 2.5. In particular we assume that the frequencies ν_P exist for all patterns $P \in \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(Q_n)$. For a fixed $R\in\mathbb{N}$ we consider the set of $\mathcal{C}$-invariant, selfadjoint operators on $\ell^2(G)$ with overall range R, and denote it by $L_{R,\mathcal{C}}$.

We will denote the trace class norm of a matrix A by $\|A\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}$. Consider $\varepsilon > 0$ and two operators $H, G \in L_{R,\mathcal{C}}$ with the property

$$\forall\ x,y\in G \quad : \quad |H(x,y) - G(x,y)| \leq \varepsilon$$

and set $A = H-G$ respectively $A[U] = H[U]-G[U]$. By the decomposition $A[U] = A_+[U]-A_-[U]$, $A_+[U], A_-[U] \geq 0$ into the positive and negative part of $A[U]$ and the triangle inequality of the trace class norm we have

$$\|A[U]\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} \leq \|A_+[U]\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} + \|A_-[U]\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq \operatorname{Tr} A_+[U] + \operatorname{Tr} A_-[U] \\
&= \sum_{x\in U} A_+[U](x,x) + \sum_{x\in U} A_-[U](x,x) \\
&\leq \sum_{x\in U} \|A_+[U]\| + \sum_{x\in U} \|A_-[U]\| \\
&\leq |U|\, \|A_+[U]\| + |U|\, \|A_-[U]\|.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the positive and negative part of a selfadjoint operator are obtained by the multiplication with an orthogonal projection, we obtain:

$$\|A_+[U]\| + \|A_-[U]\| \leq 2\|H[U] - G[U]\|.$$

Now we estimate similarly as in Remark 4.2 using $\|A[U]\| \leq \|A\|$ and $|p_x A i_y| = |A(x,y)| \leq \varepsilon$

$$2\|H[U] - G[U]\| \leq 2|B_R|\varepsilon.$$

For the spectral shift function of finite matrices

$$\xi(E, H[U], G[U]) := \operatorname{Tr}\left[\chi_{(-\infty,E]}(H[U]) - \chi_{(-\infty,E]}(G[U])\right]$$

it is well known that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dE\, |\xi(E, H[U], G[U])| \leq \|H[U] - G[U]\|_{\mathrm{Tr}},$$

cf. [BY93]. By the above bound we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dE\, |\xi(E, H[U], G[U])| \leq 2|B_R|\, \varepsilon\, |U|.$$

Now for any C^1-function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support we have

$$\operatorname{Tr} f((H[U])) - \operatorname{Tr} f((G[U])) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dE f'(E)\, \xi(E, H[U], G[U]) \;\leq \|f'\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} dE\, |\xi(E, H[U], G[U])|\;.$$

For given $U \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ we set $N_H(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(E) dN_H(E)$ and $N_H^U(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(E) dN_H^U(E) = \operatorname{Tr} f(H[U])/|U|$, where $N_H^U(E) := n(H[U])/|U|$ and consider the following difference

$$|N_H(f) - N_G(f)| \leq \left|N_H(f) - N_H^U(f)\right| + \left|N_H^U(E) - N_G^U(E)\right| + \left|N_G^U(E) - N_G(E)\right|.$$

We estimate the term $|N_H^U(f) - N_G^U(f)|$ independently of U using the above calculations

$$|N_H^U(f) - N_G^U(f)| = \frac{1}{|U|} |\operatorname{Tr} f((H[U])) - \operatorname{Tr} f((G[U]))| \leq 2\|f'\|_\infty |B_R|\varepsilon.$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that the support of f is contained in the bounded $I = (-k, k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and consider

$$\left|N_H(f) - N_H^U(f)\right| = \left|\int_I f(E) dN_H(E) - \int_I f(E) dN_H^U(E)\right| = \left|\int_I f(E)\, d\left(N_H(E) - N_H^U(E)\right)\right|.$$

We apply partial integration for Stieltjes integrals and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(E)\, d\left(N_H(E) - N_H^U(E)\right) &= f(E)\left(N_H(E) - N_H^U(E)\right)\Big|_{-k}^{k} - \int_I \left(N_H(E) - N_H^U(E)\right) df(E) \\
&= \int_I \left(N_H^U(E) - N_H(E)\right) f'(E) dE.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now choose U to be an element of the sequence $(U_{j,R})$ and obtain

$$\left|N_H(f) - N_H^{U_{j,R}}(f)\right| \leq \|N_H - N_H^{U_{j,R}}\|_\infty \int_I |f'(E)| dE \leq \delta(j,n) \|f'\|_\infty\, 2k$$

where

$$\delta(j,n) = 8\frac{|\partial^R Q_n|}{|Q_n|} + (1 + 4|B_R|)\frac{|\partial^{\operatorname{diam}(Q_n)} U_j|}{|U_j|} + \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(Q_n)} \left|\frac{\sharp_P(\mathcal{C}|_{U_j})}{|U_j|} - \nu_P\right| + \frac{|\partial^R_{int} U_j|}{|U_j|}$$

denotes the upper bound in Theorem 4.5 on the uniform convergence of the approximants. As $\lim_{n\to\infty}\lim_{j\to\infty}\delta(j,n)=0$ we finally get

$$\left|N_H(f)-N_H^{U_{j,R}}(f)\right|\to 0$$

for $j\to\infty$, which proves

$$|N_H(f)-N_G(f)|\leq 2\|f'\|_\infty|B_R|\,\varepsilon.$$

for any compactly supported f in C^1.

As a conclusion, we see that the IDS depends continuously (in the weak topology) on the variation of the coefficients in the matrix of the Hamiltonian H.

6. Open problems

Here we discuss certain questions which arise naturally in connection with our theorems.

Geometric properties of Følner sequences.

- The first question concerns the geometric requirement on the group G, respectively the Cayley graph. It needed to contain a Følner sequence (Q_n) with the additional property that each set Q_n symmetrically tiles the group, i.e. for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$ one can find a set $K_n=K_n^{-1}\subseteq G$ such that $\{Q_ng\mid g\in K_n\}$ is a partition of G. It is clear that this property holds if there exists a sequence of subgroups (G_n) such that one can choose the associated fundamental domains (Q_n) as Følner sequence.

  Thus one is led to ask whether the set of groups containing a Følner sequence of symmetrically tiling sets can be efficiently characterised. From [Wei01, Kri07] one can infer that all groups which are both amenable and residually finite satisfy this condition. However a necessary condition is still missing. For a discussion of such problems see for instance [OW87, Wei01].
- Let us discuss some related properties of growing subsets of G. As mentioned, for any finite index subgroup G' of G each fundamental domain F is a monotile of G. One could ask if the converse holds true. More precisely, if F tiles G: is there a finite index subgroup G' of G? Is F even an fundamental domain of G'? Another question is based on the extension of [Wei01] due to Krieger [Kri07] who showed: *Assume the existence of a decreasing sequence* $(H_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of finite index subgroups of* G *such that* $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}H_n=\{e\}$. *Then there exists a sequence of subgroups* $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of* G *and a sequence of associated fundamental domains* $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *such that* (Q_n) *is a Følner sequence.* Again, one can ask whether the converse holds. Given a group G, a sequence of subgroups (G_n) and Følner sequence (Q_n) such that each Q_n is a fundamental domain of G_n with respect G, does it follow that:
  - $G_\infty:=\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}G_n$ is the unit element and
  - there exists an decreasing subsequence in (G_n)?
- The next open questions concerns the assumption that each element of the Følner sequence tiles the group with a symmetric grid. One could suppose that if there is some (not necessarily symmetric) grid $K\subseteq G$ along which Q tiles G, one can find a set set $\tilde{K}=\tilde{K}^{-1}$ such that Q tiles G along $\tilde{K}$ as well.

  Weiss proved in [Wei01] that all groups that are built up by extensions using Abelian groups or finite groups obey Følner sequences of monotiles. This includes in particular the set of all solvable groups. Therefore all these groups whould fit in our setting as well if the above conjecture holds.

Approximation of the IDS with respect to different norms.

- The main result of section three is Theorem 3.1, which is an ergodic type theorem for certain functions mapping finite subsets of the vertices of a graph G into a Banach space X. In the sequel we used this to obtain uniform estimates for the integrated density of states by setting $X=\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, the Banach space of the right-continuous, bounded functions $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ equipped with supremum norm.

  One could think of other applications of Theorem 3.1 considering different Banach spaces, e.g. $X = L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$. This would lead to estimates for the speed of convergence in terms of L^p-norms. This seems to be a fruitfull approach when considering Schrödinger operators on $\mathbb{R}^d$, cf. [GLV11]. More generally, our abstract approach would even allow to study convergence of counting functions which are elements of an appropriately chosen Fréchet space, cf. Remark 3.3.

Extension of the methods for other classes of operators.

- The operators which we considered in Section 4 were defined on $\ell^2(G, \mathcal{H})$, where $\mathcal{H}$ was a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Physically, $\mathcal{H}$ encodes the internal degrees of freedom associated to a fixed vertex of G. There are interesting situations where the internal space is infinitely dimensional, for instance $\mathcal{H} = L^2(U)$, where U is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, or $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.
  Our results cannot be directly applied to such models, since we used the finite dimension of $\mathcal{H}$ at several steps in the proof. It would be interesting to implement a version of our methods which work also for infinite dimensional $\mathcal{H}$.
- A strong restriction on the type of operators which we can treat with the methods of this paper is that they have to be of finite hopping range. The same restriction applies to most of the papers mentioned in the introduction, for instance [MSY03, KLS03, Ves05, LV09]. In particular it is not clear how the results of these papers can be extended to the case when the difference operator on $\ell^2(G)$ has a matrix kernel with very fast off-diagonal decay, albeit is not of finite range.
- In the context of *stochastic* difference operators the methods mentioned in the previous item may be indeed applicable even it the finite hopping range condition is violated. This concerns operators such that the probability that a matrix element is non-zero decays to zero as one moves away from the diagonal. An example of an operator falling into this class is the adjacency operator associated to a long range percolation graph, cf. [AV09].

7. Appendix

For the convenience of the reader we provide here certain rather standard technical results. One of them is the proof of Lemma 2.1 while the others are used for the verification of the almost additivity of the cumulative eigenvalue counting function in section four.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. For any $Q \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ the equivalences

$$g \in \bigcup_{s \in B_R} (Q \setminus Qs) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists s \in B_R : g \in Q, g \notin Qs$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists s \in B_R : g \in Q, gs^{-1} \notin Q \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 1 \leq d_S(g, G \setminus Q) \leq R \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad g \in \partial^R_{int}(Q)$$

hold. This implies the first part of (a), the second part follows by a similar argument:

$$g \in \bigcup_{s \in B_R} (Qs \setminus Q) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists s \in B_R : g \notin Q, gs^{-1} \in Q \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 1 \leq d_S(g, Q) \leq R \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad g \in \partial^R_{ext}(Q).$$

We turn to claim (b). Using (a) we obtain both

$$0 \leq \frac{|\partial^R_{int} U_j|}{|U_j|} = \frac{|\bigcup_{s \in B_R} (U_j \setminus U_j s)|}{|U_j|} \leq \frac{\sum_{s \in B_R} |U_j \setminus U_j s|}{|U_j|} = \sum_{s \in B_R} \frac{|U_j \setminus U_j s|}{|U_j|} = \sum_{s \in B_R} \frac{|U_j s \setminus U_j|}{|U_j|} \to 0,$$

and

$$0 \leq \frac{|\partial^R_{ext} U_j|}{|U_j|} = \frac{|\bigcup_{s \in B_R} (U_j s \setminus U_j)|}{|U_j|} \leq \frac{\sum_{s \in B_R} |U_j s \setminus U_j|}{|U_j|} = \sum_{s \in B_R} \frac{|U_j s \setminus U_j|}{|U_j|} \to 0$$

for $j \to \infty$, as $(U_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence. This verifies the first two limits in (b), the third limit follows. Since the proofs of (c) and (d) are similar, we prove only (c). Let (U_j) be a Følner sequence. We firstly show

$$US \subseteq U^1 \qquad \text{for all } U \in \mathcal{F}(G). \tag{28}$$

Choose $x \in US$ arbitrary, then there exist $u \in U$ and $s \in S$ such that $us = x$. The case $x \in U$ is clear since $U \subseteq U^1$. If $x \notin U$ we get $d_S(u, x) = d_S(u, us) = 1$ which implies $x \in U^1$ and (28) follows. Now we claim

$$\partial^1_{ext}(U_R) \subseteq \partial^R_{int}(U) \qquad \text{for all } U \in \mathcal{F}(G). \tag{29}$$

For any $x \in \partial^1_{ext}(U_R)$ we have $d_S(x, U_R) = 1$, which means that there exists $y \in U_R$ such that $d_S(x, y) = 1$. Suppose that $x \notin U$ then we have $y \in \partial^1_{int}(U)$ since $y \in U$. This would imply that $y \notin U_R$. Thus we have $x \in U$ and $d_S(x, G \setminus U) \leq R$ since $x \notin U_R$ which implies (29). Observe that by using (b) we get $\lim_{j\to\infty} |U_j|^{-1}|U_{j,R}| = 1$. Hence there exists a constant $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|U_j|^{-1}|U_{j,R}| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $j \geq j_0$. Now the above facts imply

$$0 \leq \frac{|U_{j,R}S \setminus U_{j,R}|}{|U_{j,R}|} \overset{(28)}{\leq} \frac{|(U_{j,R})^1 \setminus U_{j,R}|}{|U_{j,R}|} = \frac{|\partial^1_{ext}(U_{j,R})|}{|U_{j,R}|} \overset{(29)}{\leq} \frac{|\partial^R_{int}(U_j)|}{|U_{j,R}|} \leq 2\frac{|\partial^R_{int}(U_j)|}{|U_j|}$$

for all $j \geq j_0$. Since $(U_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence applying (b) finishes the proof. ■

Proposition 7.1. *Let A and C be selfadjoint operators in a finite dimensional Hilbert space, then we have*

$$|n(A)(E) - n(A + C)(E)| \leq \operatorname{rank}(C)$$

for all $E \in \mathbb{R}$.

Before starting the proof of the proposition we mention some general facts concerning selfadjoint operators on finite dimensional Hilbert spaces. Given a Hilbert space of dimension $n < \infty$ with a fixed basis the above operators are hermitian matrices of dimension $n \times n$. Since $n(A)$ is defined as the eigenvalue counting function, we are interested in the relation between the size of the eigenvalues of A and $A + C$. This will be given with the help of the minmax principle of Courant and Fischer: Let $\lambda_1(A) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n(A)$ be the eigenvalues of a hermitian matrix A, then the following equations hold

$$\lambda_k(A) = \min_{\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_{n-k}\in\mathbb{C}^n} \max_{\substack{\varphi\perp\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_{n-k}\\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \langle\varphi, A\varphi\rangle \tag{30}$$

and

$$\lambda_k(A) = \max_{\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_{k-1}\in\mathbb{C}^n} \min_{\substack{\varphi\perp\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_{k-1}\\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \langle\varphi, A\varphi\rangle \tag{31}$$

For the proof of this see for example [HJ90], where it is also stressed that the minimising respectively maximising vectors are exactly the eigenvectors: for all $k = 1, \ldots n$ let f_k be the a normalised eigenvector for the eigenvalue λ_k, then we get

$$\lambda_k(A) = \min_{\substack{\varphi\perp f_1,\cdots,f_{k-1}\\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \langle\varphi, A\varphi\rangle = \max_{\substack{\varphi\perp f_{k+1},\cdots,f_n\\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \langle\varphi, A\varphi\rangle \tag{32}$$

Proof of Proposition 7.1. For a given vector $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ the matrix $B := |\xi\rangle\langle\xi| := \xi\xi^*$ is hermitian and of rank one. Given an arbitrary element $s \in \mathbb{C}$ the equality $\langle\varphi, sB\varphi\rangle = s\langle\varphi, \xi\rangle\langle\xi, \varphi\rangle = s|\langle\varphi, \xi\rangle|^2$ holds for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^n$. By using (31) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_k(A + sB) &= \max_{\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_{k-1}\in\mathbb{C}^n} \min_{\substack{\varphi\perp\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_{k-1}\\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \langle\varphi, A\varphi\rangle + s\langle\varphi, B\varphi\rangle \\
&= \max_{\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_{k-1}\in\mathbb{C}^n} \min_{\substack{\varphi\perp\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_{k-1}\\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \langle\varphi, A\varphi\rangle + s|\langle\varphi, \xi\rangle|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the maximum from below by setting $\psi_i = f_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k-2$ and $\psi_{k-1} = \xi$, where $f_i, i = 1, \ldots, k-1$ are the normalised eigenvectors

$$\lambda_k(A + sB) \geq \min_{\substack{\varphi\perp f_1,\cdots,f_{k-2},\xi\\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \langle\varphi, A\varphi\rangle + s|\langle\varphi, \xi\rangle|^2 \geq \min_{\substack{\varphi\perp f_1,\cdots,f_{k-2}\\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \langle\varphi, A\varphi\rangle \overset{(32)}{=} \lambda_{k-1}(A).$$

Similarly, by using the relation (30) we get an upper bound for the k-th eigenvalue of $A+sB$

$$\lambda_k(A+sB) = \min_{\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_{n-k}\in\mathbb{C}^n} \max_{\substack{\varphi\perp\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_{n-k}\\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \langle\varphi, A\varphi\rangle + s|\langle\varphi,\xi\rangle|^2 \le \max_{\substack{\varphi\perp f_{k+2},\cdots,f_n,\xi\\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \langle\varphi, A\varphi\rangle \le \lambda_{k+1}(A).$$

This gives for arbitrary $s\in\mathbb{C}$ and $\xi\in\mathbb{C}^n$, that for the matrix $B := |\xi\rangle\langle\xi|$ the inequalities

$$\lambda_{k-1}(A) \le \lambda_k(A+sB) \le \lambda_{k+1}(A). \tag{33}$$

hold. Now let C be a hermitian matrix of rank m. With the eigendecomposition we get $C = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i\xi_i\xi_i^*$, where s_i are the eigenvalues and ξ_i the normalised eigenvectors for $i=1,\dots,n$. Since the rank of C equals m, there are exactly m non-zero eigenvalues in the spectrum. Thus the above sum consists of m summands. Induction over the rank of C, leads to

$$\lambda_{k-m}(A) \le \lambda_k\Big(A + \sum_{i=1}^m t_iB_i\Big) \le \lambda_{k+m}(A), \tag{34}$$

where for each $i=1,\dots,m$ the matrix B_i is of the form $B_i = |\xi_i\rangle\langle\xi_i|$ for some eigenvector ξ_i and t_i is the associated eigenvalue. From (33) we know that this is true for $m=1$. The inductive step follows with

$$\lambda_{k-m-1}(A) \le \lambda_{k-1}\Big(A+\sum_{i=1}^m t_iB_i\Big) \le \lambda_k\Big(A+\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} t_iB_i\Big) \le \lambda_{k+1}\Big(A+\sum_{i=1}^m t_iB_i\Big) \le \lambda_{k+m+1}(A).$$

Finally we will translate (34) into the language of the eigenvalue counting functions. Setting $k := n(A+C)(E)$, leads to $\lambda_k(A+C) \le E < \lambda_{k+1}(A+C)$, which implies

$$\lambda_{k-m}(A) \le E < \lambda_{k+m+1}(A)$$

by using (34). This gives us $k-m \le n(A)(E) \le k+m$ which proves

$$n(A)(E) - m \le n(A+C)(E) \le n(A)(E) + m$$

for all $E\in\mathbb{R}$ and the proposition follows.

■

Applying this proposition on operators defined on a finite dimensional Hilbert space and their projections on a subspace, leads to the following proposition, which has already been proven in [LS06].

Proposition 7.2. *Let V be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and U a subspace of V. If $i : U\to V$ is the inclusion and $p : V\to U$ the orthogonal projection, we have*

$$|n(A)(E) - n(pAi)(E)| \le 4\cdot \operatorname{rank}(1 - i\circ p)$$

for all selfadjoint operators A on V and all energies $E\in\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We set $P := i\circ p : V\to V$ and use the triangle inequality to obtain

$$|n(A)(E) - n(pAi)(E)| \le |n(A)(E) - n(PAP)(E)| + |n(PAP)(E) - n(pAi)(E)|. \tag{35}$$

With the help of the equality

$$A - PAP = (1-P)AP + PA(1-P) + (1-P)A(1-P)$$

and the previous proposition we get

$$\begin{aligned} |n(A)(E) - n(PAP)(E)| &\le \operatorname{rank}(PAP - A) \\ &= \operatorname{rank}((1-P)AP + PA(1-P) + (1-P)A(1-P)) \\ &\le 3\operatorname{rank}(1-P). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Let $U^\perp$ denote the orthogonal complement of U and define $0_{U^\perp} : U^\perp \to U^\perp$ with $f\mapsto 0$. It is obvious that

$$PAP = i\circ p\circ A\circ i\circ p = p\circ A\circ i \oplus 0_{U^\perp}$$

holds and therefore we have

$$|n(PAP)(E) - n(pAi)(E)| = |n(p\circ A\circ i\oplus 0_{U^\perp})(E) - n(pAi)(E)| = |n(0_{U^\perp})(E)| \le \dim(U^\perp).$$

Note that the dimension of $U^{\perp}$ equals the rank of $(1-P)$. Using this and (36) to estimate (35) finishes the proof. ■

The next proposition is a well known dimension argument from linear algebra which we will state for completeness reasons.

Proposition 7.3. *Let H be a finite dimensional Hilbert space, U, V subspaces of H with* $\dim U > \dim V$ *then* $\dim V^{\perp} \cap U > 0$.

The following result can be found in [Sim87] and [LMV08]. It is a useful tool in the proof of the Corollary 4.12, where the points of discontinuity of the IDS are characterised.

Proposition 7.4. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space V. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and denote by U the subspace of V spanned by the eigenvectors of A belonging to the eigenvalues in the open interval $(\lambda-\varepsilon, \lambda+\varepsilon)$. If there exist k pairwise orthogonal and normalised vectors $u_1, \dots, u_k \in V$ such that $(A-\lambda)u_j$, $j = 1, \dots, k$ are pairwise orthogonal and satisfy $\|(A-\lambda)u_j\| < \varepsilon$, then* $\dim(U) \geq k$.

Proof. We assume $\dim(U) < k$. Let S be the linear span of $u_1, \dots, u_k$. By Proposition 7.3 there exists an unit element $s \in S$, which is orthogonal to U, e.g $s \in U^{\perp}$. Hence, s is a combination of elements $\overline{u}_k$ with $\|(A-\lambda)\overline{u}_k\| \geq \varepsilon$. This gives us $\|(A-\lambda)s\| \geq \varepsilon$. On the other hand we know that $s \in S$ is an unit element combined by elements u_j with $\|(A-\lambda)u_j\| < \varepsilon$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, which implies $\|(A-\lambda)s\| < \varepsilon$. ■

Acknowledgement. We thank M. Keller and F. Pogorzelski who pointed out an error in an earlier version of this paper.

REFERENCES

[Ada93] T. Adachi. A note on the Følner condition for amenability. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 131:67–74, 1993.

[AS93] T. Adachi and T. Sunada. Density of states in spectral geometry. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 68(3):480–493, 1993.

[AV09] T. Antunović and I. Veselić. Equality of Lifshitz and van Hove exponents on amenable Cayley graphs. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 92(4):342–362, 2009

[Bes08] A. Besbes. Uniform ergodic theorems on aperiodic linearly repetitive tilings and applications. Rev. Math. Phys. 20 (2008), no. 5, 597–623.

[BY93] M. Š. Birman and D.R. Yafaev. The spectral shift function. The work of M.G. Krein and its further development. *St. Petersburg Math. J.*, 4:833–870, 1993.

[Bla03] Sébastien Blachère. Word distance on the discrete Heisenberg group. *Colloq. Math.*, 95(1):21–36, 2003.

[CKM87] R. Carmona, A. Klein and F. Martinelli. Anderson Localization for Bernoulli and Other Singular Potentials. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 108:41–66, 1987

[DLM$^+$03] J. Dodziuk, P. Linnell, V. Mathai, T. Schick, and S. Yates. Approximating L^2-invariants, and the Atiyah conjecture. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 56(7):839–873, 2003.

[DLSV06] J. Dodziuk, D. Lenz, T. Schick, and I. Veselić, editors. *L^2-Spectral Invariants and the Integrated Density of States*, volume 3(1) of *Oberwolfach Rep.*, 2006.

[DM97] J. Dodziuk and V. Mathai. *Approximating L^2-invariants of amenable covering spaces: A heat kernel approach*, volume 211 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 151–167. AMS, 1997.

[DM98] J. Dodziuk and V. Mathai. Approximating L^2-invariants of amenable covering spaces: a combinatorial approach. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 154(2):359–378, 1998.

[Eck99] B. Eckmann. Approximating ℓ_2-Betti numbers of an amenable covering by ordinary Betti numbers. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 74(1):150–155, 1999.

[Ele06a] G. Elek. Aperiodic order, integrated density of states and the continuous algebras of John von Neumann. `http://www.arXiv.org/abs/math-ph/0606061`, 2006.

[Ele06b] G. Elek. L^2-spectral invariants and quasi-crystal graphs. `http://www.arXiv.org/abs/math/0607198`, 2006.

[Føl55] Erling Følner. On groups with full Banach mean value. *Math. Scand.*, 3:243–254, 1955.

[GH91] C. P. M. Geerse, A. Hof. Lattice gas models on self-similar aperiodic tilings. *Rev. Math. Phys.* 3(2): 163–221, 1991.

[GLV11] M. Gruber, D. Lenz, and I. Veselić. L^p-Approximation of the integrated density of states for Schrödinger operators with finite local complexity. *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* 69(2):217–232, 2011.

[HJ90] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix analysis.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[KM07] W. Kirsch and B. Metzger. The integrated density of states for random Schrödinger operators. In *Spectral Theory and Mathematical Physics*, volume 76 of *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, pages 649–698. AMS, 2007.

[KM83] W. Kirsch and F. Martinelli. Large deviations and Lifshitz singularity of the integrated density of states of random Hamitonians. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 89:27–40, 1983.

[KLS03] S. Klassert, D. Lenz, and P. Stollmann. Discontinuities of the integrated density of states for random operators on Delone sets. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 241(2-3):235–243, 2003.

[Klo99] F. Klopp. Internal Lifshits tails for random perturbations of periodic Schrödinger operators. *Duke Math. J.*, 98(2):335–396, 1999.

[Kri07] F. Krieger. Sous-décalages de Toeplitz sur les groupes moyennables résiduallement finis. J. London Math. Soc. 75(2):447-462, 2007.

[Kuc91] P. Kuchment. On the Floquet theory of periodic difference equations. In *Geometrical and algebraical aspects in several complex variables (Cetraro, 1989)*, volume 8 of *Sem. Conf.*, pages 201–209. EditEl, Rende, 1991.

[Kuc05] P. Kuchment. Quantum graphs. II. Some spectral properties of quantum and combinatorial graphs. *J. Phys. A*, 38(22):4887–4900, 2005.

[Len02] D. Lenz. Uniform ergodic theorems on subshifts over a finite alphabet. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 22(1):245–255, 2002.

[LMV08] D. Lenz, P. Müller, and I. Veselić. Uniform existence of the integrated density of states for models on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. *Positivity*, 12(4):571–589, 2008.

[LPV04] D. Lenz, N. Peyerimhoff, and I. Veselić. Integrated density of states for random metrics on manifolds. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 88(3):733–752, 2004.

[LS06] D. Lenz and P. Stollmann. An ergodic theorem for Delone dynamical systems and existence of the density of states. *J. Anal. Math.*, 97:1–23, 2006.

[LV09] D. Lenz and I. Veselić. Hamiltonians on discrete structures: jumps of the integrated density of states and uniform convergence. *Math. Z.*, 263(4):813–835, 2009.

[Lin01] E. Lindenstrauss. Pointwise theorems for amenable groups. *Invent. Math.*, 146(2):259–295, 2001.

[LS99] W. Lück and T. Schick. L^2-torsion of hyperbolic manifolds of finite volume. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 9(3):518–567, 1999.

[Lüc02] W. Lück. *L^2-invariants: theory and applications to geometry and K-theory*, volume 44 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3rd Series.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[MSY03] V. Mathai, T. Schick, and S. Yates. Approximating spectral invariants of Harper operators on graphs. II. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(6):1917–1923 (electronic), 2003.

[MY02] V. Mathai and S. Yates. Approximating spectral invariants of Harper operators on graphs. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 188(1):111–136, 2002.

[OW87] D. Ornstein and B. Weiss. Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups. *J. Analyse Math.*, 48:1–141, 1987.

[Pas71] L. A. Pastur. Selfaverageability of the number of states of the Schrödinger equation with a random potential. *Mat. Fiz. i Funkcional. Anal.*, (Vyp. 2):111–116, 238, 1971.

[PV02] N. Peyerimhoff and I. Veselić. Integrated density of states for ergodic random Schrödinger operators on manifolds. *Geom. Dedicata*, 91(1):117–135, 2002.

[Shu79] M. A. Shubin. Spectral theory and the index of elliptic operators with almost-periodic coefficients. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 34(2(206)):95–135, 1979. [English translation: Russ. Math. Surveys, **34**:109-157, 1979].

[Sim85] B. Simon. Lifschitz tails for the Anderson model. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 38:65–76, 1985.

[Sim87] B. Simon. Internal Lifschitz tails. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 46(5-6):911–918, 1987.

[Szn89] A.-S. Sznitman. Lifschitz tail and Wiener sausage on hyperbolic space. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 42(8):1033–1065, 1989.

[Szn90] A.-S. Sznitman. Lifschitz tail on hyperbolic space: Neumann conditions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 43(1):1–30, 1990.

[Ves05] I. Veselić. Spectral analysis of percolation Hamiltonians. *Math. Ann.*, 331(4):841–865, 2005.

[Ves07] I. Veselić. *Existence and regularity properties of the integrated density of states of random Schrödinger Operators*, volume Vol. 1917 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 2007.

[Wei01] B. Weiss. Monotileable amenable groups. *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, 202(2):257–262, 2001.

[Żuk00] A. Żuk. On an isoperimetric inequality for infinite finitely generated groups. *Topology*, 39(5):947–956, 2000.

(D.L.) Mathematisches Institut, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena , 07743 Jena, Germany
URL: `http://www.analysis-lenz.uni-jena.de/`

(F.S.) Emmy-Noether-Projekt, Fakultät für Mathematik, TU Chemnitz, 09107 Chemnitz, Germany
URL: `http://www-user.tu-chemnitz.de/∼fabis`

(I.V.) Emmy-Noether-Projekt, Fakultät für Mathematik, TU Chemnitz, 09107 Chemnitz, Germany
URL: `http://www.tu-chemnitz.de/mathematik/enp`